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## How to find non-trivial solutions out of trivial ones?

Of course, I have no clue ...
... but try deforming trivial solutions until they become very unstable and that might give you new solutions!
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## Buckling under compressive stress

$$
w(x)=A \sin (\lambda x)
$$

$$
\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{P}{E}}
$$

Boundary conditions (pinned ends):

- Base: $w(0)=0 \Longrightarrow B=0$
- Top: $w(L)=0 \Longrightarrow A \sin (\lambda L)=0 \stackrel{A \neq 0}{\Longrightarrow} \lambda=\frac{n \pi}{L}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ Upshot:
- $P<\frac{\pi^{2} E}{L^{2}} \Longrightarrow \lambda=\sqrt{\frac{P}{E}}<\frac{\pi}{L} \Longrightarrow w(x) \equiv 0$

Only trivial solution exists; no buckling!
-P $P \frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} E}{L^{2}} \Longrightarrow w_{j}(x)=A \sin \left(\lambda_{j} x\right), \lambda_{j}=\frac{j \pi}{L}, 0 \leq j \leq n$
Nontrivial solutions appear; at least $n$ buckling modes!
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## Increasing the load $P$

Solutions to $E \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} w}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+P w=0$ with $\frac{5^{2} \pi^{2} E}{L^{2}} \leq P<\frac{6^{2} \pi^{2} E}{L^{2}}$
w

$w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}$
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H. Poincaré. "L'Équilibre d'une masse fluide animée d'un mouvement de rotation". Acta Math., vol. 7, pp. 259-380, 1885.

> "Topological change in the structure of a dynamical system when a parameter crosses a bifurcation value"

Parameter: P (load)
Bifurcation values: $\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} E}{L^{2}}$
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## Bifurcation

Il pourra d'ailleurs arriver qu'une mème forme d'équilibre appartienne à la fois à deux ou plusieurs séries linéaires. Nous dirons alors que c'est une forme de bifurcation. On peut en effet, pour une valeur de $y$ infiniment voisine de celle qui correspond ì cette forme, trouver deux formes d'équilibre qui différent infiniment peu de la forme de bifurcation.

Il nout arrivor éralomont ame danv sórios linéaives de formes d'énui-
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Navier-Stokes equation

$$
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\nabla p+\nabla \cdot \tau+\rho g
$$
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- Buckling under compressive stress;
- Current oscillations in electric circuits;
- Taylor-Couette vortices and turbulence in fluid dynamics;
- Cahn-Hillard equation for phase separation in fluids;
- Ginzburg-Landau equation for superconductors;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \alpha \psi+\beta|\psi|^{2} \psi \\
& +\frac{1}{2 m}(-i \hbar \nabla-2 e A)^{2} \psi=0 \\
& j=\frac{2 e}{m} \operatorname{Re}\left(\psi^{*}(-i \hbar \nabla-2 e A) \psi\right) \\
& \quad \nabla \times B=\mu_{0} j
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bifurcation phenomena

In Physics, Engineering, Finance, and other Applied Sciences:

- Buckling under compressive stress;
- Current oscillations in electric circuits;
- Taylor-Couette vortices and turbulence in fluid dynamics;
- Cahn-Hillard equation for phase separation in fluids;
- Ginzburg-Landau equation for superconductors;
- Competitive equilibria in iterative auctions
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 In Mathematics:A way to use instability of trivial solutions to produce nontrivial solutions to differential equations.

- $X=\{$ "states" $\}$, or \{"configurations" $\}$
- $f_{t}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, 1-parameter family of functionals $f_{t}(x)=$ energy of state $x \in X$ with parameter $t$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{t}(x)=0
$$

- $x_{t}$ trivial branch of solutions, $\mathrm{d} f_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)=0$
- $x_{t}=$ "ground state", typically minimizes $f_{t}(x)$

Principle of Least Action: $x_{t}$ is state observed in nature
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$x_{t}$ trivial branch

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{t}\left(x_{t}\right)=0
$$

## Definition

Bifurcation occurs at $x_{t_{*}}$ if:

- $\exists t_{n}, t_{n} \rightarrow t_{*}$
$-\exists x_{n} \rightarrow x_{t_{*}}, \mathrm{~d} f_{t_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)=0$,
 $x_{n} \neq x_{t_{n}}$

Equivalently, the Implicit Function Theorem fails at $x_{t_{*}}$ !
Thus, $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{d}^{2} f_{t_{*}}\left(x_{t_{*}}\right) \neq\{0\}$ is a necessary condition but it is not sufficient...

## Sufficient condition for bifurcation
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Theorem (Krasnosel'skij) If $\exists a<b$ such that
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M. A. Krasnosel'skij (1979)

Definition (Morse index) $i_{\text {Morse }}(x)=\# \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2} f_{t}(x)\right) \cap(-\infty, 0)$, where $\operatorname{Spec}(A)=\{$ Eigenvalues of $A\}$.

Theorem (Krasnosel'skij) If $\exists a<b$ such that

$$
i_{\text {Morse }}\left(x_{a}\right) \neq i_{\text {Morse }}\left(x_{b}\right)
$$

then $\exists t_{*} \in(a, b)$ a bifurcation instant.
\} Technical warnings (for experts):

- $\mathrm{d}^{2} f_{t}$ must be Fredholm (of index 0)
- $x_{a}$ and $x_{b}$ must be nondegenerate.
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## Toy example from Calculus

- $X=R^{2}$
- $f_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{4}-t y^{2}\right)$
- $\mathrm{d} f_{t}(x, y)=\left(x, 2 y^{3}-t y\right)=(0,0) \quad\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$
- Trivial branch: $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)=(0,0)$
$-\mathrm{d}^{2} f_{t}(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 y^{2}-t\end{array}\right)$
$\mathrm{d}^{2} f_{t}(0,0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -t\end{array}\right)$
$-i_{\text {Morse }}(0,0)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t<0 \\ 1, & \text { if } t>0\end{cases}$
$\Rightarrow$ Bifurcation occurs at $t_{*}=0$ !
Two bifurcating branches issue

$$
\text { from } x_{t_{*}}=(0,0)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)=(0, \pm \sqrt{t / 2}) \\
t>0
\end{array}
$$

"Pitchfork bifurcation"

$\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ trivial solutions
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$\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ trivial solutions

- If $t<0$, stable
$\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ bifurcating solutions

H. Poincaré. "L'Équilibre d'une masse fluide animée d'un mouvement de rotation". Acta Math., vol. 7, pp. 259-380, 1885.

Avant de démontrer ce résultat général, donnons quelques exemples. Soit:

$$
F=A x_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}^{3}-y^{2} x_{2}-x y x_{2} .
$$

Il vient pour les équations d'équilibre:

$$
x_{1}=0, \quad x_{2}= \pm \sqrt{y^{2}+u y}
$$

d'où

$$
\Delta=4 A x_{2}= \pm 4 A \sqrt{y^{2}+\alpha y} .
$$

PDE Application: Semilinear elliptic equations
(PDE) $\begin{cases}\Delta u(x)+t f(u(x))=0 & \text { in } B^{n} \\ \alpha u(x)-t \beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x)=0 & \text { on } \partial B^{n}\end{cases}$

## PDE Application: Semilinear elliptic equations
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(PDE) $\begin{cases}\Delta u(x)+t f(u(x))=0 & \text { in } B^{n} \\ \alpha u(x)-t \beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x)=0 & \text { on } \partial B^{n}\end{cases}$
Look for radial solutions, i.e., $u=u(r)$, $r=|x|$, invariant under $O(n) \curvearrowright B^{n}$
$(\mathrm{ODE})\left\{\begin{array}{l}u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime}(r)+t f(u(r))=0 \\ u^{\prime}(0)=0=\alpha u(1)-t \beta u^{\prime}(1)\end{array}\right.$
If $f(u)$ satisfy certain conditions, e.g., $f(u)=\sin u$, then $\exists u_{t}$ solution $\forall t \geq t_{0}$
Theorem (Smoller-Wasserman, 1990)
There are infinitely many bifurcations from $u_{t}$ as $t \nearrow+\infty$ by nonradial solutions to (PDE).
J. Smoller

A. Wasserman
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- Soap bubbles in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are CMC surfaces: round spheres
- General isoperimetric regions have CMC boundary
- Center of Mass in General Relativity
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Theorem (Delaunay, 1841)
Surface of revolution
$\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ has CMC $\Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Profile curve of } \sum \text { is the } \\ \text { roulette of a conic section. }\end{gathered}$

## Delaunay


C.-E. Delaunay

Southeast side of the Eiffel tower:
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## Delaunay surfaces



Unduloid (ellipse)


Catenoid
(parabola)


Nodoid (hyperbola)


Cylinder

## Conics of varying eccentricity


ellipses
$0<e<1$
parabola
$e=1$
hyperbolae
$1<e<+\infty$

Video credit: GeometrieWerkstatt Gallery
http://service.ifam.uni-hannover.de/~geometriewerkstatt/

## Bifurcating Nodoids

Theorem (Mazzeo-Pacard, 2002)
There are infinitely many families of CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ that bifurcate from nodoids as their eccentricity goes to $+\infty$.


Image credit: GeometrieWerkstatt Gallery
http://service.ifam.uni-hannover.de/~geometriewerkstatt/gallery/0003.html
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## Bifurcating Nodoids

Theorem (Mazzeo-Pacard, 2002)
There are infinitely many families of CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ that bifurcate from nodoids as their eccentricity goes to $+\infty$.

Symmetry-breaking:
Bifurcating surfaces are not of revolution!
Theorem (Koiso-Palmer-Piccione, 2015)
There are infinitely many families of CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with boundary on two fixed coaxial circles that bifurcate from portions of nodoids as their conormal angle varies.


Image credit: Koiso-Palmer-Piccione, 2015
https://www.ime.usp.br/~piccione/Downloads/NodoidBifurcation_revisionACV.pdf
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## Setup:

- $(M, g)$ cohomogeneity one manifold; i.e., $G \curvearrowright M$, with $M / G=[0,1]$. E.g., $S^{n}, \mathbb{C} P^{n}, H P^{n}$, Kervaire spheres, $\ldots$
- $\Sigma_{t} \subset M$ principal G-orbits, $t \in[0,1]$ trivially have CMC due to symmetries

Theorem (B.-Piccione, 2016)


There are infinitely many families of CMC embeddings bifurcating from $\Sigma_{t}$ as $t \searrow 0$ and $t \nearrow 1$.

Significance: These are Delaunay-type hypersurfaces in these spaces!
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## Example: Delaunay tori in $S^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{3}=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}=1\right\} \\
& \Sigma_{t}=\left\{(z, w) \in S^{3}:|z|=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right),|w|=\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right)\right\}, t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Principal orbits (CMC tori):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{t}, t \in(0,1) \\
& H\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right)-\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Singular orbits (circles): $\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}$
- Delaunay-type tori bifurcate from $\Sigma_{t}$ as $t \searrow 0$ and $t \nearrow 1$
$t \searrow 0$
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Proof (of all above results).

- $X=\{\Sigma \hookrightarrow M\}$
- $f_{H}(\Sigma)=\operatorname{Area}(\Sigma)+H \operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma)$
- $\mathrm{d}_{H}(\Sigma)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \Sigma$ has constant mean curvature $H$
$-i_{\text {Morse }}(\Sigma)=\#(\underbrace{\operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta_{\Sigma}\right)}_{\text {Laplace eigenvalues }} \cap(-\infty, \underbrace{\left.\left.\operatorname{Ric}(\nu)+\left\|A_{\Sigma}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)}_{\text {geometric potential" }}$
- $i_{\text {Morse }}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \nearrow+\infty$ as $\Sigma_{t}$ collapses
- Krasnosel'skij's Theorem $\Longrightarrow$ Infinitely many bifurcations


## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883)
Every closed surface

## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883) Every closed surface

Genus 0

## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883) Every closed surface


Genus 0


Genus 1

## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883) Every closed surface


Genus 0


Genus 1


Genus $\geq 2$

## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883) Every closed surface admits a metric of constant curvature


Genus 0 $K=1$


Genus 1
$K=0$


Genus $\geq 2$
$K=-1$

## Geometric Application II: Conformal Deformations

Uniformization Theorem (Poincaré 1882; Klein 1883)
Every closed surface admits a metric of constant curvature which can be achieved with a conformal deformation.
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- Encoded by a positive function

$$
\mathrm{g} \rightsquigarrow u^{p} \mathrm{~g}, \quad u>0
$$

- Conformal class of metric $g$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathrm{g}] } & =\left\{u^{p} \mathrm{~g}: u>0\right\} \\
& \cong C_{+}^{\infty}(M)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Theorem
Every $(M, \mathrm{~g})$ admits a conformal deformation $\left(M, u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \mathrm{~g}\right)$ with constant scalar curvature.

## Yamabe problem: "Uniformization" for $n \geq 3$

$(M, g) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad\left(M, u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \mathrm{~g}\right)$ with
$n$-manifold constant scalar curvature

$$
-4 \frac{n-1}{n-2} \Delta_{g} u+\operatorname{scal}_{g} u=c u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}
$$

- Yamabe (1960)
- Trudinger (1968)
- Aubin (1976)
- Schoen (1984)

R. Schoen (1983)

Theorem
Every ( $M, \mathrm{~g}$ ) admits a conformal deformation ( $M, u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \mathrm{~g}$ ) with constant scalar curvature. Is it unique?
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& >S^{1} \rightarrow S^{2 n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n} \\
& >S^{3} \rightarrow S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{n} \\
& >S^{7} \rightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^{8}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- $i_{\text {Morse }}\left(\mathrm{g}_{t}\right) \nearrow+\infty$ as $\mathrm{g}_{t}$ collapses
- Krasnosel'skij's Theorem $\Longrightarrow$ Infinitely many bifurcations
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## Singular Yamabe Problem

Find complete metrics on $M \backslash \wedge$ with scal $=$ const. in a given conformal class.

## Theorem (B.-Piccione-Santoro 2016; B.-Piccione, 2018)

There are infinitely many solutions to the singular Yamabe problem on $S^{n} \backslash S^{k}, 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$.

- If $k>\frac{n-2}{2}$, no solutions exist (asymptotic maximum principle)
- If $k=1$, can use Bifurcation Theory [B.-Piccione-Santoro, 2016]
- If $1<k<\frac{n-2}{2}$, other methods are needed [B.-Piccione, 2018]
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## Constant $Q$-curvature Problem

Find complete conformal metrics on $\left(M^{n}, \mathrm{~g}\right)$ such that

$$
Q_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{scal}_{\mathrm{g}}-\frac{2}{(n-2)^{2}} \| \text { Ric }_{\mathrm{g}} \|^{2}+\frac{n^{3}-4 n^{2}+16 n-16}{8(n-1)^{2}(n-2)^{2}} \text { scal }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2} \equiv \text { const. }
$$

Theorem (B.-Piccione-Sire, 2018)

| Hopf bundle | Infinitely many <br> bifurcations <br> as $t \searrow 0$ | Infinitely many <br> bifurcations <br> as $t \nearrow+\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S^{1} \rightarrow S^{2 q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{q}$ | no | if $q \geq 6$ |
| $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{4 q+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{q}$ | if $q \geq 1$ | if $q \geq 2$ |
| $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2 q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{q}$ | if $q \geq 2$ | if $q \geq 3$ |
| $S^{7} \rightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^{8}(1 / 2)$ | yes | yes |

## Thank you for your attention!

