Lecture 12
$$3/13/2024$$
 From var, we draw alway concerted always here that always the product of all products. "Better days" (theory in the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days of the days with glad product, "Better days" (theory in the days of the days

Recall: The Riem. metrics of
$$S^{n}(\sqrt[q]{TK})$$
, \mathbb{R}^{n} , $\mathbb{H}^{m}(\sqrt[q]{T-K})$ can be collectively written as
the worped product metric $dr^{2} + sn_{\kappa}(r)^{2}g_{sn-1}$, where sn_{κ} solves $\int sn_{\kappa}^{*} + k sn_{\kappa} = 0$
 $sn_{\kappa}(\circ) = 0$, $sn_{\kappa}^{*}(\circ) = 1$.
Ex: Show that a closed manifold M^{n} , $n \ge 3$, with $\pi_{z}M \ne \{0\}$ (e.g., $M = \mathbb{C}P_{r}^{\kappa} \times 2^{2}$)
does not admit any Riem. metric with constant sectional curvature.
Sol: $\pi_{z}M = \pi_{z}M$ and $\pi_{z}S^{n} = \pi_{z}M^{n} = \pi_{z}M^{n} = \{0\}$.

Basic Global Resold
Basic Global Resold
Thus (Gorton-Hadoward). If (M''g) is a complete connected Rean widdle with
sec <0, then
$$M_{eff} R''$$
. In particular, if $\pi_{5}M = 121$, then $M_{eff}^{an} R''$.
Lemma. If sec <0, then Jacks fields with $J(o)=0$ and $J'(o)\neq 0$ satisfy $J(t)\neq 0, \forall t>o$
R' (It) be a Jacks field along $Y(t)=\exp_{t}tv$, with $J(o)=0$, and
set $f(t)=\frac{1}{2}||J(t)||^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(J(t),J(t))$. Then $f'(t)=(J,J')$, and
 $f''(t)=(J',J')+(J,J')=(J',J')+(J,J')$
 $Thus, f'(t)$ is nondecreasing. As $f(o)=0$ and $f'(o)=0$, it filtes that $f'(t)\geq 0$
for all $t>0$, i.e., f(H) is nondecreasing. Moreover, as $J'(o)\neq 0$, then
 $f'(t)=\frac{1}{2}||J(t)||^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(J(t),J(t))$. Then $f'(t)=(J,J')$
 $Thus, f'(t)$ is nondecreasing. As $f(o)=0$ and $f'(o)=0$, it filtes that $f'(t)\geq 0$
for all $t>0$, i.e., f(H) is nondecreasing. Moreover, as $J'(o)\neq 0$, then
 $f(t)=\frac{1}{2}||J(t)||^{2}=t^{2}+0(t^{2}) \geq \frac{1}{2}||J'(o)||^{2}t^{2}>0$
for t>0 sufficially small, so $f(t)>0$ for all $t>0$ because f is number outp. D
Remi: Later on, we will prove that $||J(t)|| \geq t|J'(o)||$ for all $t>0$ (Read I).
 $Gr. If sec 0, then exp.: TrM \to M$ is a local differ.
 M . By the Inverse Fracton Theorem, it softens to blow decrept, $T, T_{0}M \to T_{off}, M$
 $T(t)=d(eqp)_{ty} t, T'(o), so for t+0, ||d(eqp)_{ty} w|| = ||\frac{1}{2}T(t)|| > by the Lemma
 wd for t=0 we have shown before that $d(eqp)_{ty} w|| = ||\frac{1}{2}T(t)|| > by the Lemma
 wd for t=0 we have shown before that $d(eqp)_{ty} w|| = ||\frac{1}{2}T(t)|| > by the Lemma
 wd for t=0 we have shown before that $d(eqp)_{ty} w|| = ||\frac{1}{2}T(t)|| > by the Lemma$$$$

Leave II (PI.3) and (M.8) are connected, (PI.3) complete and
$$\pi$$
 (PI.3) - (H.3) is a local isometry, then (M.8) is complete and π is a Riem. covering map
PI. Basic topology: show that π has the path-lefting property (see [i.e., Thm 6.23] for details)
R of Cortan Hadeward: Sina M is complete, are have exp. $T_{\rm PM} \longrightarrow M$ well-defined.
By Gr. down, it is a local differ averywhere, or we can are it to pall have the
matrix g from M is a matrix $\overline{g} = \exp^{+}_{1} \overline{g}$ on $T_{\rm PM}$. Thus, $\exp^{+}_{1} (TM, \overline{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ is
a local isometry. The manifold (TM, \overline{g}) is complete by Hopf-Runau because the
stronght lines $t \mapsto tv$ thereigh the origin of $T_{\rm PM}$ are greateries with \overline{g} and extend
to all te R. Thus, by Lemma, exp. $T_{\rm PM} \rightarrow M$ as a avering map \square
Or: There does not exist a unified $T_{\rm PM} = M$ along a greaterie $g: (D, L) \rightarrow M$ of $g(0) = p$,
 $g(L) = g$ and there exists a Jacki field $T: [D, L] \rightarrow M$ along χ with $T(0) = 0$, $TL = 0$.
Mole: By the above, if see ≤ 0 , then there are nor conjugate points. Moreover,
 $g = \exp_{1}Lv$ is conjugate to p along $\chi(H) = \exp_{1}Uv$ iff $d(exp.)_{LV}$. $T_{\rm D}T_{\rm PM} \rightarrow T_{\rm PM}$
is runninvertible. In other runds, $\exists \gamma_{\rm S}(h)$ a variation of χ by producic
with endpoints thut, to first order χ conjugate p^{\pm} by producic
with endpoints thut, to first order χ
 $g = \exp_{1}Lv$ is conjugate to p along $\chi(H) = \exp_{1}Uv$ iff $d(exp.)_{LV}$. $T_{\rm D}T_{\rm PM} \rightarrow T_{\rm PM}$
is runninvertible. In other runds, $\exists \gamma_{\rm S}(h)$ a variation of χ by producic
with endpoints thut, to first order χ
 χ is a produce that π is a set of the order χ order χ is a strong and
 χ intervale the meridian
 $go (rungete Frink)$ and χ
 χ is defined the meridian
 χ is then.

Recall the second variation of energy:
$$V = \frac{d}{ds} \gamma_s(t)|_{s=0}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(\gamma_s)|_{s=0} (V,V) = g\left(\frac{DV}{ds}, \dot{\gamma}\right)|_a^b + \int_a^b \left\|\frac{DV}{dt}\right\|^2 - g\left(R(V,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, V\right) dt$$

If the variation has fixed <u>endpoints</u> $(\gamma_{s}(a) \equiv \gamma_{o}(a), \gamma_{s}(b) \equiv \gamma_{o}(b))$, then $\frac{DV}{ds}(a) = 0$, $\frac{DV}{ds}(b) = 0$. Moreover, if sec ≤ 0 , then $-g(R(V,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, V) \geq 0$, so it follows that $\frac{d^{2}}{ds^{2}} E(\gamma_{s})|_{s=0} (V,V) = \int_{a}^{b} \left\|\frac{DV}{dt}\right\|^{2} - g(R(V,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, V) dt \geq 0$

re, if sec 50, then all geoderics are local minima for E among annes with the same endpoints. However, they need not be global minime: Hunk of closed geoderics on a torus, or on a hyperbolic manifold, which are minimizing up to half their length.



Parallel variations: Let $v \in T_{\gamma(n)}M$ and parallel transport it along the geodesic $\gamma: [a,b] \rightarrow M$ to obtain $V(t) = P_t v$ with V(o) = v and $\frac{DV}{dt} = 0$. Note that V is the variational vector field of $\gamma_s(t) = \exp_{\gamma(t)} s \cdot V(t)$. Moreover, $\frac{DV}{ds} = 0$ because $s \mapsto \gamma_s(t)$ are geodesics. Then:

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(\gamma_s) \Big|_{S=0} (V,V) = -\int_a^b g(\mathcal{R}(V,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma},V) dt$$

for $0 < |s| < \varepsilon$ has $\int E(\gamma_s) > E(\gamma)$ if $Sec < \varepsilon$

i.e., $\gamma_{s}(t)$ for $0 < |s| < \varepsilon$ has $\int E(\gamma_{s}) > E(\gamma)$ if sec < 0 $LE(\gamma_{s}) < E(\gamma)$ if sec > 0.



Lecture
$$1^3$$
 $3/15/2024$ $R(X,Y)Z = K$

Def: diam
$$(M, g) = \sup \left\{ d(p; q) : p; q \in M \right\}$$
 is the diameter of (M, g) .
From basic topology, diam $(M, g) < \infty \iff M$ is compact.
Later on, we will show that a weaker curvature bound $(R \in K > 0)$ is confi.
Thus $(M_{M,gN}, 1941)$. If (M, g) as a coupled anavated and $\pi_g M$ is finite.
Thus $(M_{M,gN}, 1941)$. If (M, g) is a coupled anavated and $\pi_g M$ is finite.
Thus $(M_{M,gN}, 1941)$. If (M, g) is a coupled anavated and $\pi_g M$ is finite.
Thus $(M_{M,gN}, 1941)$. If (M, g) is a coupled anavated and $\pi_g M$ is finite.
It has deam $(M, g) \leq \pi/(K)$. In particular, it is compact and $\pi_g M$ is finite.
Pl. Let $p; q \in M$ and let $p: [Q,L] \rightarrow M$ be a sumit speed minimizing geodenic
with $g(10=p-g(L)=q)$. Since g is minimizing for all variations g of g with
fixed endpoints, $\frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(g_S)|_{S=0} \geq 0$. Let ve T_FM with $\|v\|| = 1$ and $\langle g(0,v) \geq 0$, at
 $V(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)$. Pt v solutions $f(g_S, g(0), v) = 0$, at
 $V(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)$. Pt v solutions $f(g_S, g(0), v) = 0$, at
 $V(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)$. Pt v solutions $f(g_S, g(0), v) = 0$, at
 $V(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)$. Pt v solutions $f(g_S, g(0), v) = 0$, at
 $0 \leq \frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(g_S)|_{S=0}$ and $V'(t) = \frac{\pi}{L} \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) P_S V$. $V''(t) = -\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) P_S V$.
Then $g_S(t) = \exp_{g(0,S)}V(t)$ is a variation of g with fixed endpoints, hence:
 $0 \leq \frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(g_S)|_{S=0}$ $g\left(\frac{DV}{ds}(s)\right)|_{0}^{-1} + g\left(\frac{DV}{dt}(V)\right)|_{0}^{-1}$
 $= -\int_{0}^{L} \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - \sec\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) \frac{g}{g}(R(R_S, q); q; R_S)\right) dt$
 $= \int_{0}^{L} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - \sec\left(\frac{R}{L} \vee N\right)\right) dt$
 $= \int_{0}^{L} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - \sec\left(\frac{R}{L} \vee N\right)\right) dt$
 $= \int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - K\right) \int_{0}^{L} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)^2 dt$
Thus, $\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - K \ge 0$, we che $d(p, q) \leq \frac{\pi}{K}$ for all $p; q \in M$.
Thus, $\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - K \ge 0$, c_{C} , $L \le \frac{\pi}{K}$. It follows that $d(p; q) \le \frac{\pi}{K}$ for all $p; q \in M$.

hence diam $(M,g) \leq \frac{\pi}{VK}$ and hence M is compact. If $\pi: M \to M$ is the universal covering. Then by the same argument (M,π^*g) is compact, so π_1M is fruite. \square

Lecture 14 3/20/2024

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Riccl}} & \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{curvature}}: \ \left\lfloor {\rm st} \ \ {\rm ve} \ {\rm TpM} \ \ {\rm and} \ \ \left\{ {\rm et}_{1, \ \cdots, \ even} \right\} \ {\rm be} \ \ {\rm and} \ {\rm outh} \ {\rm d} \ \ {\rm then} \ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{So}} \ \ {\rm thet} \ \ {\rm fe}_{1, \ \cdots, \ even} \ {\rm so} \ \ {\rm outh} \ \ {\rm d} \ \ {\rm then} \ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{So}} \ \ {\rm then} \ \ {\rm fe}_{1, \ \cdots, \ even} \ {\rm so} \ \ {\rm outh} \ \ {\rm d} \ \ {\rm then} \ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Ric}(v,v) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{v-1} \left\langle {\rm R(e_i,v)} \, v_i \, e_i \right\rangle \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Ric}(v,v) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{v-1} \left\langle {\rm R(e_i,v)} \, v_i \, e_i \right\rangle \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Ric}(v,v) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{v-1} \left\langle {\rm R(e_i,v)} \, v_i \, e_i \right\rangle \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Ric}(v,v) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{v-1} \left\langle {\rm R(e_i,v)} \, v_i \, e_i \right\rangle \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \underset{k=1}{\operatorname{Ric}(v,v) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{v-1} \left\langle {\rm R(e_i,v)} \, v_i \, e_i \, e_i$$

.

Note: S^N × S¹ admits metrics with scal >0. Indeed, for a product metric, $(M_{1\times}M_{z}, g_{1}\oplus g_{z})$ has $Scal_{g_{1}\oplus g_{z}} = Scal_{g_{1}} + Scal_{g_{z}}$, so the product metric $g_{sn}\oplus d\theta^{2}$ Nos $Scal = scal_{s^{N}} = N(N-4).$ Upshot: $|T_1| = +\infty$ detects non existence of metrics with Ric >0; and can be used to distinguish the classes of closed manifolds that adjust metrics with scal>0 and Ric>0. However, we have the following: Open Problem; Does there exist a closed simply-connected manifold M that admits metrics with sel > 0 but does not admit metrics with Ric>0? Issue: Lack of known topological abstructions to Ric>0 besides $|\pi_1| = +\infty$. On the other hand, using h-principle techniques, it is known that there are no topological obstructions to Ric <0: Thun (Lohkamp, Annals of Math 1994). Every manifold M, NZ3, admits a complete metric with Ric <0. Even intere: for any metric g on M, and C > 0, E > 0, there is a metric g' on M with $\operatorname{Rec}_{g'} \leq -C$ and $\|g-g'\|_{C^0} \leq E$... In particular, also seel <0 is topologically unobstructed. In fact, using PDE one can show that every manifold admits a complete metric with scal $\equiv -1$. However, scal > 0 is topologically obstructed (this is a very active research area!) Thun (Lichnerowicz, 1963). If (M.g) is a closed Riem spin unfld with scal>0, then A(H)=0. $\underbrace{E_{X:}}_{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_0: x_1: x_2: x_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0 \right\} \text{ is a smooth closed spin 4-mfld} \\ \text{with } \widehat{A}(M) \neq 0, \text{ therefore it does not admit Riem. metrics with Scal>0. \\ The results above are best suited for a second course in Riem. geometry...}$ Lecture 15 3/22/2024 Back to the (relatively classical) world of sectional curvature: Lemma. If $P:\mathbb{R}^{n-4}$, \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is a linear isometry, i.e. $P\in O(n-1)$, and det $P = (-1)^n$, then 1 is an eigenvalue of P_{j} so $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, $v \neq 0$, Pv = v.

Expandence is
$$\geqslant 0$$
 some they time in conjugate pairs: $(\arg p_1)(\alpha - p_1) - \alpha^2 + \beta^2$, and det $P = 4$,
so at least one of the real eigenvalues is +1.
If on is odd, then det $P = -4$, and as the forduct of $cptx$ eigenvalues is >0,
thread a positive even number of real eigenvalues, so at least one is +1.
End. By the Max Tono Thun, $dPe O(n-1)$, $\exists Ue O(n-1)$ st $UP U^{-4} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{01} \\ \vdots \\ B_{01} \\ \vdots \\ p_{01} \\ \vdots$

(ii) If M is non-orientable, then $\exists P: \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ an orientation-veversing isometry of the prientable double-cover $\overline{M} \rightarrow M$. By Weinstein's Theorem, P has a fixed point, hence P = id, contradicting that P is prientation-veversing. Alternatively, the above can be proven with the second variation of energy and the following result: Pop. If (M,g) is a closed Riem. mild, then every nontrivial free Nomotopy class in M is represented by a closed geodesic that has least length among curves in its free homotopy class. If of Synge: (i) Suppose M is oriented, dim Miseven. (Given a nontrivial element in TyM, let y be a closed geodesic with least length Shorten geodesie lingth that represents that free homotopy class. The porallel transport along of gives an orientation-preperving linear isometry $P_t: \dot{\gamma}(o)^{\perp} \longrightarrow \dot{\gamma}(L)^{\perp}$ which (by Lemma) has a fixed vector $v \in \dot{\gamma}(o)^{\perp}$ 1Pt V Let Ys(t) = exp SPL V $T_{\gamma(0)}M \cong T_{\gamma(L)}M$ >0 ble sec>0 So $\pi_1 M = \{1\}$. If M is non-onientable, apply the above to its oriented double-cover to conclude that is its nuriversal cover hence $\pi_1 M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. (11) Exercise.

$$\begin{split} & \Pr_{\mathbf{r} \mathbf{y}} \cdot \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}} \, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \right)_{|_{\mathbf{M}}} = \overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}} \, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{I} \left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \right), & \text{for any extansion } \overline{\mathbf{X}}, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \neq \mathbf{X}, \\ & \underline{\mathbf{y}} \quad \text{Summe I} \left[\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \right)_{=}^{+} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \right)_{-}^{+} , & \text{soffwas to sclew} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y} \in \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \overline{\mathbf{y}} \right)_{-}^{+} , \\ & \underline{\mathbf{y}} \quad \text{some free connections on M competible onthe g, have a genese by three uniqueness of the Levi-Centre connection on (Hg). If \\ & \underline{\mathbf{y}} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}^{\perp} \text{ a vierwal leader field, the symmetric linear map \\ & \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} : \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M} \quad \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}^{-} \mathbf{X} \, \mathbf{y} \right) = \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{I} \left(\mathbf{X} \, \mathbf{y} \right) , \mathbf{n} \right) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{X} \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}^{\perp} \text{ is investive (or bluingerten operator) of M in direction $\mathbf{n}^{*} \text{ called the Shope operator (or bluingerten operator) of M in direction $\mathbf{n}^{*} \text{ called the Shope operator (or bluingerten operator) of } \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{-} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{I} \left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \right) \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{-} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \right) \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \right)^{*} \\ & = \mathbf{g} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \right] \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \right] \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) + \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n}^{*}, \mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \right)^{*} \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) - \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n} \left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n} \right) \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{y} \right) - \mathbf{g} \left(\mathbf{n} \left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n} \right) \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x} \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x} \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x} \right) \\ & = \overline{\mathbf{g}} \left(\overline{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}} \, \mathbf{n}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*}$$$$

[

15

Since
$$\overline{W} \in TM$$
, we can get rid of any normal components:
 $\left(\overline{\nabla_{\overline{X}}} \ \overline{\nabla_{Y}} \ \overline{Z}\right)^{T} = \overline{\nabla_{X}} \ \overline{\nabla_{Y}} \ \overline{Z}, \quad \left(\overline{\nabla_{[\overline{x},\overline{y}]}} \ \overline{Z}\right)^{T} = \overline{\nabla_{[\overline{x},\overline{y}]}} \ \overline{Z}, \quad \text{etc.}, \quad \text{so:}$

$$\dots = g\left(\overline{\nabla_{X}} \ \overline{\nabla_{Y}} \ \overline{Z} - \overline{\nabla_{Y}} \ \overline{\nabla_{X}} \ \overline{Z} - \overline{\nabla_{[\overline{x},\overline{y}]}} \ \overline{Z}, \quad W\right) + g\left(S_{I}(x_{2}), V, W\right) - g\left(S_{I}(y_{2}), X, W\right)$$

$$= g\left(R(x, y) \ \overline{Z}, W\right) + g\left(I(x, 2), I(y, W)\right) - g\left(I(X, W), I(y, 2)\right).$$

Lor: If X,Y are orthonormal, then

$$\overline{\operatorname{sec}}(X,Y) - \operatorname{sec}(X,Y) = || \mathbb{I}(X,Y) ||^2 - g(\mathbb{I}(X,X), \mathbb{I}(Y,Y)).$$

Def. $M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$ is totally geodesic if every geodesic in M is geodesic in M.

Prop:
$$M = \Im \overline{M}$$
 is totally geodesic if and only if $\overline{II} \equiv 0$.
R. If $\overline{II} \equiv 0$, then Levi-Civita connections of \overline{M} and M agrice hence so do
their geodesics. Conversely, if M is tot. geod., then let $p \in M$, $v \in T_{PM}$, and
 $\gamma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow M$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow M$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = p$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = v$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$. Then
 $\Im: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W$ be the geodesic in M (and \overline{M}) s.t. $\gamma(0) = v$. $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$.

Ex: What are the totally geoderic zeronandolds of
$$S^n$$
? (this is in a construction of the point of the point is the point point is point the point point is the point is the point point is the point point is the point point is point point is the point point is point point point point point point point point is the point poin

G. Way is Law,
$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\{k', k \in \Lambda\}} w_k = \# (Spec (-\Delta) \cap [0, 1]) \approx \lim_{k \to \infty} W_k(M, g) : N = (\lambda)^{-1}$$
,
(where is block domain theorem: if $f \in E_k$, then into the form function of the second relations in the presence of the expectation of the second relations in the presence of the expectation of the second relations in the presence of the expectation of the second relations in the presence of the presence of the expectation of the second relations in the presence of the second relations in the presence of the pres

In what follows, assume
$$M \subseteq \overline{M}$$
 is a two-sited hypersurface, with not vormal \overline{N} ,
 $I(X,Y) = h(X,Y)$. \overline{N}
Since h: $TpM = TpM = R$ is symmetric,
there is an one. \overline{Sei} of exervectors with eigenvalues K_i ; that is,
 $h(e_i,e_j) = K_i R_{ij}$, or, in terms of the shape operator, $S_n e_i = K_i e_i$.
Def. K_i are the principle curvature of $M \subseteq \overline{M}$, and e_i are the
principal directions. The When curvature of M is $H = tr h = \sum_{i=r}^{n} K_i$.
Def. $M^n \subseteq \overline{M}^{nri}$ is a minimal hypersurface G if has $H = 0$. Simulally,
a submoutful $M^k \subseteq \overline{M}^{nri}$ of codimension > 1 , is minimal of tr $S_N = 0$ the
all normal vectors N , or, equivalently, $tr I = 0$. We added
 $II = TM = TM$.
 K_i Minimal hypersurface M^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :
 $N = 1$: a firme subspaces (note $H = 0$ for a 1-dim submitted if it is a gendence)
 $N = 2$: thus is very classical; going back to Lagrange 1762. Bendoos affine
subspaces, lots at exampless are now Known (see e.g., minimal surface, blog, h M Weber)
Catennoid (Euler 1744)
Helicard (Maenier 1776)
Catennoid (Euler 1744)
Helicard (Maenier 1776)
Thum. A hypersurface $M^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is minimal if and onel if its coordinate functions
in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} restrict to harmonic functions on M^n , i.e., $\Delta_M(e_i, X) = 0$, i.e., M .
 R_i Given $v \in \mathbb{R}^{M_i}$, let $\overline{F}: \mathbb{R}^{n+4} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function $\overline{I(k)} = \langle x_i N \rangle$, and
 $Lit = \overline{I_{M_i}: M \to \mathbb{R}$. Then $\overline{V} = v_i$, so $V \notin = (\overline{V}, \overline{V}) = v - \langle v_i \overline{N} > \overline{V}$, where
 Zo

$$\begin{split} & \mbox{M} \quad \mbox{M} \ \mbox{M}$$

Lecture 18 4/5/2024

First variation of Area. Given MCM a submitted, consider a variation $f_{t}: M^{m} \longrightarrow \overline{M}^{n}$, i.e., $f_{0}(x) = x$, $\forall x \in M$ and $f_{t}(M) \subset \overline{M}$ are nearby submitted $df_{o}(x) = id: T_{x}M \longrightarrow T_{x}M$ $df_{o}(x) = id: T_{x}M \longrightarrow T_{x}M$ $M = f_{o}(M) \quad Avea(f_{t}(M)) = \int_{M} \sqrt{\det(df_{t})^{T}(df_{t})} dx$ $V(x) = \oint_{\mathcal{L}} f_{\ell}(x)|_{t=0} \qquad dx = Vol_{g_{\tau}} \\ \text{Recall from coloulus: if } A_{t} \in Sym(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \text{ with } A_{o} = Id, \qquad \text{is the volume form} \\ of M \subset \overline{M}, g = f_{o}^{*}\overline{g}. \end{cases}$ $\frac{d}{dt} \det(A_t)|_{t=0} = tr\left(\frac{d}{dt}A_t|_{t=0}\right) \cdot \left(e \cdot g_{t}, \text{ use } \det e^{tX} = e^{tr(tX)}\right)$ det(I+tX+...) So; $\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Area}(\{t(M)\}_{t=0}) = \int_{M} \frac{d}{dt} \sqrt{\det(dt)} (dt) dx$ $= \int_{M} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det\left(df_{n}\right)^{T}(df_{0})^{T}}} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \det\left(\left(df_{t}\right)^{T}\left(df_{t}\right)\right)|_{t=0} dx$ $= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} tr \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left((dt^{\dagger})^{\mathsf{T}} (dt^{\dagger}) \right)_{|_{t=0}} \right) dx$ Let $V(x) = \frac{d}{dt} f_t(x)$ be the corresponding Variational field, so, in movimal coord. $\{x_i\}$ around a point, $df_t(x) = \left(\frac{\partial (f_t)^{\tilde{j}}}{\partial x_i}\right)_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m\\j=1,\dots,m}} s_0$

$$t_{V} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left((df_{t})^{T} (df_{t}) \right)_{|_{t=0}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{K=1}^{n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial (f_{t})^{K}}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2}_{|_{t=0}}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{K=1}^{n} \frac{\partial (f_{0})^{K}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial V^{K}}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} g(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} V) = \lambda \operatorname{div}_{M} V.$$

So:,
$$\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Area}(\{t(M)\})|_{t=0} = \int_{M} div_{M} \vee di$$

It is useful to decompose $V = V^T + V^{\perp}$ along M, to disregard tangential variations, which are not geometric (just charge coordinates on M...) $div_M V = div_M V^T + div_M V^{\perp}$

Stokes:
$$\int div_{M} V^{T} = 0$$
 blc M is closed $\left(\int g(V, \vec{n}) if \partial M \neq \phi \dots \right)$

$$\operatorname{div}_{M} \mathbb{V}^{\perp} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} g(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} \mathbb{V}^{\perp}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} e_{i} \left(\underbrace{g(e_{i}, \mathbb{V}^{\perp})}_{= 0} - g((\nabla_{e_{i}} \tau_{i}), \mathbb{V}^{\perp}) \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q(\mathbb{I}(e_{i},e_{i}), \mathbb{V}^{\perp}) = -q(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq i}}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}(e_{i},e_{i}), \mathbb{V}^{\perp}) = -q(\widehat{H}, \mathbb{V}),$$
$$= -q(\widehat{H}, \mathbb{V}),$$
$$= \mathbb{I}(X_{i}) = (\overline{\mathbb{V}}_{X} \mathbb{V})^{\perp}$$

So; if MCM is closed, we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Area}(\{t_{t}(M)\}_{t=0}) = -\int_{M} \operatorname{S}(\widetilde{H}, V) dx.$$

Thus, minimal submanifolds are <u>critical</u> points of Area. Note: If $H \neq 0$ at pEM, then we can find V s.t. g(H,V) > 0 here p and g(H,V) = 0 away from p, so for E > 0 small, we have $Area(f_{E}(M)) < Area(f_{0}(M))$. Thus, if $M \subset M$ <u>minimizes</u> Area, then it is <u>minimal</u>. However, the converse does not hold!"Area, minimizing submanifold" V. "Minimal submanifold"23

Note: Area-minimum (minimum) hypersurfaus are stable.
Then (Simons' 61). If
$$\overline{M}$$
 has $\overline{Re} > 0$, then it has no two-sided stable
minimum hypersurface. If \overline{M} has $\overline{Re} > 0$ and $M < \overline{M}$ is a two-sided stable
minimum hypersurface. If \overline{M} has $\overline{Re} > 0$ and $M < \overline{M}$ is a two-sided stable
minimum hypersurface. If \overline{M} has $\overline{Re} > 0$ and $M < \overline{M}$ is a two-sided stable
minimum hypersurface. Then M as tability geodesic and $\overline{Re}(\overline{m}) = 0$.
Pd. Set $\phi = 1$ on the stability inequality: $0 > \int Re(\overline{n}) + 1 \ln ||^2$.
Prop. (Scheen - Var. 179). Suppose $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ has scal > 0 and $M^2 < -\overline{M}$ is a
connected closed two-sided stable min. hypersurface. Then $M^2 \cong S^2$.
Pf. Ourous $\{e_{11}, e_{2}\}$ that diagonalize h , so $\|h\|^2 = h(e_{11}, e_{1})^2 + h(e_{21}, e_{2})^2 = K_1^2 + K_2^2$.
Using the Gauss equation; as $\{e_{11}, e_{2}\}$ is on the of T_{M} , setting $e_{3} = \overline{N}$,
 $sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge e_{2}) = sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge e_{2}) + h(e_{11}, e_{2})^2 - h(e_{11} e_{11})h(e_{21}, e_{22})$
 $= 3ec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge e_{2}) - K_{11}K_{2}$
So: $Ric(\overline{M}) = Sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge \overline{N}) + Sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{21} \wedge \overline{N})$
 $= \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{3} sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge \overline{N})\right) - sec_{\overline{M}}(e_{11} \wedge e_{2})$
 $= \frac{1}{2} scal_{\overline{M}} - sec_{\overline{M}} + K_{11}K_{2} + K_{1}^{2} + K_{2}^{2}$
 $H = K_{11}K_{2} = 0$
So: $\sqrt{Ric(\overline{N})} + \|h\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{M}} - sec_{\overline{M}} + \frac{1}{2}\|h\|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{H}} - sec_{\overline{M}}$
 $K_{12} = -\frac{V_{12}K_{2}}{2}$
So: $\sqrt{Ric(\overline{N})} + \|h\|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{M}} - sec_{\overline{M}} + \frac{1}{2}\|h\|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{H}} - sec_{\overline{M}}$
 $K_{12} = -\frac{V_{12}K_{2}}{2}$
So $K = f_{2}(\overline{N}) + \|h\|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{H}} - 2\pi X(M) \Longrightarrow X(N) > 0$
 $M = \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{H}} - 2\pi X(M) \Longrightarrow X(N) > 0$
 $M = \frac{1}{2} scd_{\overline{H}} - 2\pi X(M) \Longrightarrow X(N) > 0$

Thus (Federer, Flewing, De Giorgi, Alleguen, Allord). If
$$(\overline{M}^n, \overline{g})$$
 is a closed oriented Piem nuffly $M \leq 7$, and $\alpha \in H_{n-d}(\overline{M}, \mathbb{Z})$, there exist (ambedded) two-sided stable munimal hypersorfaces M_1, \dots, M_K so that $\alpha = [M_1] + \dots + [M_K]$, obtained by minimizing area in α . Also German-Lawow S. Ar all $N \geq 2$ with scales $M = M_1 + M_1 + M_1 + M_2$. Distained by minimizing area in α . Also German-Lawow S. Ar all $N \geq 2$ with scales $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_2 + M_2$. Thus, (Scherm-Yau 77). T, $N \geq M \leq 7$, does not about metrics with scales).
Thus, (Scherm-Yau 77). T, $N \geq M \leq 7$, does not about metrics with scales).
Phil (N=3). Support (T³, \overline{J}) hos scales 0 , and let $\alpha \in H_2(T^3, \mathbb{Z})$ be the class $\alpha = [\{X_3 \geq 0\}]$, so that any representative $M \in \alpha$ has $\int_M \omega = 4$ where $\omega = d_{X_1} n d_{X_2} \in H_2^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. Minimize area in α by find M_1, \dots, M_K abdle min. Mgn. s.t. $\alpha = [M_1] + \dots + [M_K]$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{K} \int_M \omega = 1$ so $\int_M \omega \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq K$. This implies $[d_{X_1|M_1}]$ $[d_{X_2|M_1}] \in H_d^{4g}(M_1, \mathbb{R})$ are charter. Indeed, if $d_{X_1|M_1}$ is exact in M_1 , then let $f:M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $df = d_{X_3|M_1}$, and compute: $0 \neq \int_{M_1} d_X \wedge d_{X_2} = \int_{M_1} d(f d_{X_2}) - \int_{M_2} f d(d_{X_2}) = 0$ for $M_1 \neq M_2$. This contradicts $M_1 \geq 2$. If $M_1 \neq M_2 = M_1 = 4$.
So $[d_{X_1|M_1}] \neq 0$ in $H_{dR}^2(H_1|R)$. This contradicts $M_1 \cong S^2$. If for $3 < n \leq 7$, there is a dimension - reduction scheme that vectores the problem to three case $n = 3$. The above proved a conjector of Gereat.

RMK. The above proof is adapted from notes of Otis Chodosh. The original proof by Schoen-Yan uses a different area-minimization technique, showing that if $\Gamma_g < \pi_1(\overline{M}^3)$ is a subgroup ison. to the fund group of a surface of genus g = 1. then there is a two-sided stable min. surface $M^2 \subset \overline{M^3}$ of genus g. For the case $\overline{M^3} = \overline{T^3}$, take $\overline{\Gamma_1} = \overline{\pi_1}(\overline{T^2}) = 2^2$ and get a contradiction. Lecture 19 4/ 10/2024 Comparison theory for Jacobi fields <u>Prop:</u> If $\gamma: [0,L] \rightarrow M$ is a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$, WE Ty TpM has ||W|| = 1 and J(t) is the Jacobi field along $\gamma^{(t)}$ with J(0)=0 and J'(0)=W, i.e., J(t)=d(expp)ty tw, then: $\|J(t)\|^{2} = t^{2} - \frac{1}{4} < R(v, w)w, v > t^{4} + O(t^{5})$

<u>P1:</u>

 $\langle 2^{1}2\rangle_{(1)}(\circ) = \langle 2\langle 2^{1}, 2$

27

Moreover, for any vector field W along
$$\mathcal{T}$$
,
 $\left(\frac{D}{dt} R(J(t), \mathfrak{H}^{t})) \mathfrak{F}(t), W \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle R(J, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{f}, M \rangle - \langle R(J, \mathfrak{f}) \mathfrak{F}, M \rangle \rangle$
 $= \langle \frac{D}{dt} R(W, \mathfrak{f}) \mathfrak{f}, J \rangle + \langle R(W, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F}, J' \rangle$
 $= \langle \frac{D}{dt} R(W, \mathfrak{f}) \mathfrak{f}, J \rangle + \langle R(W, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F}, J' \rangle$
 $\mathfrak{f} R(J, \mathfrak{f}) \mathfrak{F} = R(J', \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} \qquad (all other farms are zero)$
 $dt fell (J, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} = R(J', \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} \qquad (all other farms are zero)$
 $dt fell (J, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} = R(J', \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} \qquad (all other farms are zero)$
 $dt fell (J, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} = R(J', \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} \qquad (all other farms are zero)$
 $\mathfrak{f} = -R(J, \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{F} \qquad (f)$
 $\mathfrak{f} = -R(J, \mathfrak{$

We can choose
$$\xi > 0$$
 suff small so that $\sum_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \chi(s,t) : s \in \xi \leq M$ are small
hypersurfaces for each $t \in (-L, \varepsilon)$; cf "freed radios" of z_{-} .
Let $S = \nabla V$ (e. $S: \neq (M) \longrightarrow \chi(M)$, and $R_V: \neq (M) \longrightarrow \neq (M)$.
The is the share openation $\chi \longrightarrow \nabla_{K} V$ $\longrightarrow \nabla_{K} V$ $\chi \longrightarrow R(\chi,V) V$
with the appointer with normal $-\pi$.
Since $[T, V] = 0$, one have $\nabla_V T = \nabla_T V = S(T)$, so the
 T_{acobs} equation $T = R_V T$ $\longrightarrow \nabla_K V$
 Z^{*+} and r obter $T = R_V T$ $\longrightarrow C_{K,V} + [V,K]$.
 $[Z^{*+} and r obter $R_V T \longrightarrow C_{K,V} + [V,K]$.
 $= \nabla_V \nabla_X V - S(\nabla_X V + [V,K])$
 $= R(V,K) V + \nabla_X \nabla_V V + \nabla_{K,V} V - \nabla_{K,V+(Y,K)} V$
 $= -R_V(X) - S(S(X))$, $\forall X$
 $I.e. S' + S^2 + R_V = 0$. (This equation can be ordered independently!)
Note S is suff-adjoined for each t_1 is $r_{+} \langle SV_1 V \rangle = \langle V, SY \rangle$, $\forall X, Y \in T\Sigma_1$, since it is
 $C_{Vareables} q S$ are principal curvations (with variand $-\pi$) and $H_{\Sigma_1} = Hr S_1$.
Examples If $sec = K$, then $R_V = K \operatorname{Ted}$, and the Riccast equation
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S^2 + R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi^2 + K = 0$
 $S'_+ S'_+ R_V = 0 \iff \chi' + \chi$$

If
$$K=0$$
, the solutions are $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{t-t_0}$, converponding
to concentric spheres $\Sigma_t = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : dist(p, p_0) = |t - t_0|\},\$





If
$$K < 0$$
, the solutions are
 $\lambda(t) = \sqrt{-K} \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{-K}(t-t_0)), \textcircled{D}, \textcircled{D}, \textcircled{D}$
corresponding to Σ_t being concentric spheres,
 $\lambda(t) = \sqrt{-K} \operatorname{tanh}(\sqrt{-K}(t-t_0)), \textcircled{D}, \overbrace{D}, \overbrace{D}, \overbrace{E_t}, \overbrace{FK}, \overbrace{Corresponding}$ to Σ_t heing horospheres,
and $\lambda(t) \equiv \pm \sqrt{-K}$, corresponding to Σ_t being
hyperverfaces porallel to $\operatorname{H}^{n-1}(\sqrt{-K}) \subset \operatorname{H}^m(\sqrt{KK}).$
 $\operatorname{lete}:$ The doore are all the unbilic hypersurfaces of space forms! Theorem
principal curvatures are given by $\lambda(t)$, and mean curvature by $H = (n-3) |\lambda(t)|$

To facilitate comparison, identify $T_{F(t)}M \cong T_{F(0)}M$ via possible transport along f_{f} so that $S_{t}: T_{F(t)}M \longrightarrow T_{F(t)}M$ can be written as $S_{t}: E \longrightarrow E$, where $E = T_{F(0)}M$, i.e., $S_{t} \in Sym^{2}E$ is a curve of sulf-adjoint operators on a fixed vector space. We prove the following ODE comparison results:

Thus. Let $R_1, R_2: \mathbb{R} \to Sym^2 \mathbb{E}$ be smooth curves with $R_1(t) \ge R_2(t)$, $\forall t$ Let $S_i: [t_0, t_i] \to Sym^2 \mathbb{E}$ be the maximal solutions to $S_1' + S_1^2 + R_1 = 0$ If $S_4(t_0) \le S_2(t_0)$, then $t_4 \le t_2$ and $S_4(t) \le S_2(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_4)$.