## Midterm Exam

DUE: MAR 29, 2024

1. On a Riemannian manifold (M, g), let  $x: U \subset M \to x(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a local chart which determines metric components  $g_{ij} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)$  and Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ , i.e., such that the Levi-Civita connection satisfies  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ . Prove that  $R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$  satisfies  $R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{\ell} R_{ijk}^{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}}$ where

$$R_{ijk}^{\ell} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial x_j} + \sum_m \Gamma_{jk}^m \Gamma_{im}^{\ell} - \Gamma_{ik}^m \Gamma_{jm}^{\ell}.$$

We have that  $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right] = 0$  since these are coordinate vector fields, so

$$\begin{split} R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}},\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \\ &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}}\left(\sum_{m}\Gamma_{jk}^{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}\right) - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}}\left(\sum_{m}\Gamma_{ik}^{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m}\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{jk}^{m}}{\partial x_{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} + \Gamma_{jk}^{m}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{m}}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} + \Gamma_{ik}^{m}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m}\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{jk}^{m}}{\partial x_{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} + \Gamma_{jk}^{m}\sum_{\ell}\Gamma_{\ell}^{\ell}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{m}}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} + \Gamma_{ik}^{m}\sum_{\ell}\Gamma_{jm}^{\ell}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell}\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{jk}^{\ell}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{m}\Gamma_{jk}^{m}\Gamma_{im}^{\ell}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} - \sum_{\ell}\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial x_{j}} + \sum_{m}\Gamma_{ik}^{m}\Gamma_{jm}^{\ell}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} \\ &= \sum_{\ell}\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma_{jk}^{\ell}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{m}\Gamma_{jk}^{m}\Gamma_{im}^{\ell} - \frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{\ell}}{\partial x_{j}} - \sum_{m}\Gamma_{ik}^{m}\Gamma_{jm}^{\ell}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}}}_{R_{ijk}^{\ell}} \end{split}$$

- 2. Let  $(N, dy^2)$  be a 1-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Endow  $M = (a, b) \times N$  with the metric  $g = dr^2 + f(r)^2 dy^2$ , where  $f: (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is positive and smooth. Consider the vector fields  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  tangent to meridians in M and  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  tangent to parallels in M.
  - a) Compute g(R(X, Y)Y, X). Here, you may use the formula for R in Problem 1 and the answer to Problem 6a) in HW2.
  - b) Show that  $\sec_{g}(X \wedge Y) = -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)}$ .
  - c) Compute the volume form vol<sub>g</sub> of g.

For the remaining items, assume  $(N, dy^2) = (S^1, d\theta^2)$  is the unit circle (of length  $2\pi$ ).

- d) If g extends smoothly to r = a but f(a) = 0, what prevents  $\sec_g(X \wedge Y)$  from blowing up? Compute the sectional curvature at r = a in terms of f.
- e) Compute  $\int_M \sec_g(X \wedge Y) \operatorname{vol}_g$ . What happens to this quantity when the metric extends smoothly to both r = a and r = b with f(a) = f(b) = 0? Explain.
- a) We use a chart  $x: (a, b) \times N \to (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}$  with coordinate fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = X$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = Y$ . From HW2 Problem 6a), we have that the only nonzero Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{21}^2 = \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)}, \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -f(r)f'(r).$$

Thus, by the formula for R in Problem 1, since g(X, X) = 1 and g(X, Y) = 0,

$$g(R(X,Y)Y,X) = g(R_{122}^{-1}X + R_{122}^{-2}Y,X)$$
  

$$= R_{122}^{-1}$$
  

$$= \frac{\partial\Gamma_{22}^{12}}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial\Gamma_{12}^{12}}{\partial x_2} + \sum_m \Gamma_{22}^m \Gamma_{1m}^1 - \Gamma_{12}^m \Gamma_{2m}^1$$
  

$$= \frac{\partial\Gamma_{22}^{12}}{\partial r} + (\Gamma_{22}^1\Gamma_{11}^1 - \Gamma_{12}^1\Gamma_{21}^1) + (\Gamma_{22}^2\Gamma_{12}^1 - \Gamma_{12}^2\Gamma_{22}^1)$$
  

$$= -f'(r)^2 - f(r)f''(r) - \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)}(-f(r)f'(r))$$
  

$$= -f(r)f''(r).$$

b) From the previous item, since g(X, X) = 1, g(X, Y) = 0, and  $g(Y, Y) = f(r)^2$ , it follows that

$$\sec_{g}(X \wedge Y) = \frac{-f(r)f''(r)}{f(r)^{2}} = -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)}.$$

Note that  $\sec_g$  depends only on r and not on  $y \in N$ , in accordance with  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  being a Killing vector field.

- c)  $\operatorname{vol}_{g} = \sqrt{\det g} \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}y = f \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}y$
- d) If  $(N, dy^2) = (\mathbb{S}^1, d\theta^2)$  is the unit circle (of length  $2\pi$ ) and the metric extends smoothly to r = a and f(a) = 0, then f'(a) = 1 and  $f^{(2k)}(0) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e., all derivatives of even order vanish at r = a. In particular, f''(a) = 0, which prevents  $\sec_g$  from blowing up at r = a. Moreover, by the L'Hospital rule,  $\sec_g(X \wedge Y) = -\frac{f'''(a)}{f'(a)} = -f'''(a)$ .
- e) By the items above, and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$\int_{M} \sec_{g}(X \wedge Y) \operatorname{vol}_{g} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{a}^{b} -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)} f(r) \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}\theta = 2\pi (f'(a) - f'(b)).$$

If the metric g extends smoothly to both r = a and r = b with f(a) = f(b) = 0, then the manifold (M, g) is isometric to the open and dense subset of a Riemannian sphere  $(\mathbb{S}^2, \overline{g})$  obtained by puncturing it twice (at r = a and r = b), where the Riemannian metric  $\overline{g}$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and restricts to g on M. A consequence of smoothness of g at r = a and r = b is that f'(a) = 1 and f'(b) = -1. This recovers the Gauss–Bonnet formula for the integral of the Gauss curvature  $K_{\overline{g}}$  on  $(\mathbb{S}^2, \overline{g})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} K_{\overline{g}} \operatorname{vol}_{\overline{g}} = \int_M \operatorname{sec}_{g}(X \wedge Y) \operatorname{vol}_{g} = 4\pi = 2\pi \chi(\mathbb{S}^2).$$

3. Consider the metric  $g = \frac{1}{x^2} dx^2 + x^2 dy^2$  on  $M = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Replace x by an arclength parameter r = r(x) to recognize that g is isometric to a warped product  $dr^2 + f(r)^2 dy^2$ . Compute its curvature and use the outcome to show that given  $p, q \in M$ , and given an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_pM$  and an orthonormal basis  $\{\overline{e_1}, \overline{e_2}\}$  of  $T_qM$ , there exists an isometry  $\varphi$  of (M, g) such that  $\varphi(p) = q$  and  $d\varphi(p)e_i = \overline{e_i}$  for i = 1, 2.

The arclength parameter in the x direction is r = r(x) such that  $dr = r'(x) dx = \frac{1}{x} dx$ , hence  $r = \log x$ . It follows that  $x = e^r$ , hence  $\psi^* g = dr^2 + e^{2r} dy^2$ , where  $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$ ,  $\psi(r, y) = (e^r, y)$ . Thus, g is isometric to the warped product metric  $dr^2 + f(r)^2 dy^2$  on  $(-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(r) = e^r$ . From the Problem 2), its sectional curvature is

$$\sec = -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{e^r}{e^r} = -1,$$

so it is locally isometric to the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , by Cartan's theorem. In particular, given  $p, q \in M$ , and the linear isometry  $I: T_pM \to T_qM$  defined by the prescribed orthonormal bases, that is,  $Ie_i = \overline{e_i}$ , i = 1, 2, the hypothesis of Cartan's theorem are satisfied since the curvature tensor R of (M, g) is R(X, Y)Z = g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X at all points. As (M, g) is simply-connected and complete, by the Cartan–Ambrose–Hicks theorem, there exists a global isometry  $\varphi: M \to M$  such that  $\varphi(p) = q$  and  $d\varphi(p) = I$ .

4. For  $n \ge 2$ , does there exist a Riemannian metric g on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose distance function is  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(p,q) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |p_i - q_i|$ , for all  $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n), q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ? Explain.

No. Suppose there exists such a Riemannian metric g on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , and consider the points  $p = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ,  $q = (\varepsilon, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ,  $r_1 = (\varepsilon/2, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ,  $r_2 = (\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2, \ldots, 0)$ , so

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{g}}(p,q) = \varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{g}}(p,r_i) = \varepsilon/2, \quad \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{g}}(r_i,q) = \varepsilon/2,$$

for i = 1, 2. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small so that there exists a unique minimizing geodesic  $\gamma_{xy}$  between each pair of points x and y among  $\{p, q, r_1, r_2\}$ . (It follows from the Gauss Lemma that within any sufficiently small ball on (M, g) there is a unique minimizing geodesic between any pair of points.) As these geodesics are minimizing,

$$L_{\mathbf{g}}(\gamma_{pr_i}) = \varepsilon/2$$
 and  $L_{\mathbf{g}}(\gamma_{r_iq}) = \varepsilon/2$ .

Concatenating  $\gamma_{pr_1}$  and  $\gamma_{r_1q}$  we obtain a curve  $\gamma_1$  of length  $\varepsilon$  that joins p to q; similarly, concatenating  $\gamma_{pr_2}$  and  $\gamma_{r_2q}$  we obtain a curve  $\gamma_2$  of length  $\varepsilon$  that joins p to q. Both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are minimizing geodesics from p to q, but they are not the same curve since  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . This contradicts the uniqueness of the minimizing geodesic  $\gamma_{pq}$  from p to q.



5. Can a complete manifold  $(M^n, g)$  with  $\sec_g \leq -1$  admit a complete metric with  $\sec \geq 1$ ? Explain.

No. If  $(M^n, g)$  is complete and  $\sec_g \leq -1$ , then (by the Cartan–Hadamard Theorem) its universal cover is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $M^n$  also supported a complete Riemannian metric with  $\sec \geq 1$ , in particular Ric  $\geq (n - 1)$ , then its universal cover would be compact (by Myers' Theorem), so no such metric can exist.

- 6. Let (M, g) be a complete manifold and fix  $p \in M$ .
  - a) Prove that M is noncompact if and only if there exists a unit speed geodesic  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), p) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ; in particular,  $\gamma$  is such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = |t s|$  if  $t, s \geq 0$ .
  - b) Can one arrange for  $\gamma$  to be such that  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = |t s|$  for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ?
  - a) If M is compact, then  $f(t) = \operatorname{dist}(p, \gamma(t))$  is continuous and hence bounded for any curve  $\gamma(t)$ . Thus, existence of a unit speed geodesic  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), p) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$  implies that M is noncompact.

Conversely, if (M, g) is complete and noncompact, there exists a sequence  $q_n \in M$ such that  $\operatorname{dist}_g(p, q_n) = L_n \nearrow +\infty$  as  $n \nearrow +\infty$  by the Hopf–Rinow Theorem. Also by the Hopf–Rinow Theorem, there exist minimizing unit speed geodesics  $\gamma_n: [0, L_n] \to M$  such that  $\gamma_n(0) = p$  and  $\gamma_n(L_n) = q_n$ . The corresponding initial velocities  $v_n := \dot{\gamma}_n(0)$  form a sequence on the unit sphere in  $T_pM$  which hence admits a convergent subsequence. Up to reindexing, let us assume that  $v_n \to v$ itself converges to a unit vector  $v \in T_pM$ . Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M, \gamma(t) = \exp_p tv$ .

We claim that  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(p,\gamma(t)) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If not, there exists  $t_{*} > 0$  with  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(p,\gamma(t_{*})) < t_{*}$ . Note that  $t_{*} < L_{n}$  for n sufficiently large, as  $L_{n} \nearrow +\infty$ . By construction, we have that  $\gamma_{n}(t_{*}) = \exp_{p}(t_{*}v_{n}) \to \gamma(t_{*})$  as  $n \nearrow +\infty$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{g}}(\gamma_n(t_*), \gamma(t_*)) < t_* - \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{g}}(p, \gamma(t_*))$  for all *n* sufficiently large. Since the geodesic  $\gamma_n \colon [0, L_n] \to M$  is minimizing, by the triangle inequality, for *n* large,

$$t_* = \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma_n(t_*)) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(t_*)) + \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t_*), \gamma_n(t_*)) \\ < \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(t_*)) + (t_* - \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(t_*))) = t_*,$$

a contradiction, which proves the claim. Moreover, for any  $t, s \ge 0$ , we have

$$s = \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(s)) \le \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(t)) + \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = t + \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s))$$
$$t = \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(t)) \le \operatorname{dist}_{g}(p, \gamma(s)) + \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = s + \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s))$$

hence

$$|t-s| = \max\{t-s, s-t\} \le \operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s))$$

and  $\operatorname{dist}_{\mathbf{g}}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) \leq |t-s|$  as  $\gamma$  is a curve of length |t-s| joining  $\gamma(t)$  and  $\gamma(s)$ .

b) Even though the above geodesic  $\gamma$  is a ray, i.e., satisfies  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = |t - s|$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ , it is not always possible to arrange for it to be a *line*, i.e., satisfy  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = |t - s|$  for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For example, if (M, g) is the paraboloid  $(\mathbb{R}^{2}, g)$  and p is the origin, then unit speed geodesics  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to M$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  are meridians. All of them are rays, none of them are lines. Indeed, if t > 0 is large enough, then  $\operatorname{dist}_{g}(\gamma(-t), \gamma(t)) < 2t$  since one one can use a parallel as shortcut.



It can be shown that if M has at least two *ends*, i.e., M is *disconnected at infinity*, e.g., M diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then it has lines.