

# MINICOURSE: LIE-THEORETIC APPROACH TO THE BOCHNER TECHNIQUE

(joint work w/ Jackson Goodman)

JULY 2023, MATRIX — CRESWICK, AUSTRALIA

## PART 1

$(M^m, g)$  Riem. mfd, oriented.

$Fr(M) = \{ \text{orthonormal frames on } TM \}$

frame bundle

$SO(n) \rightarrow Fr(M) \rightarrow M$  principal bundle

(can replace by princ.  $G$ -bundle if  $(M, g)$  has holonomy  $G \subset SO(n)$ )

$$\pi: SO(n) \rightarrow SO(E)$$

orthogonal/unitary representation

$\rightsquigarrow$

$$E_\pi = Fr(M) \times_\pi E \rightarrow M$$

associated vector bundle

$\pi_{\varepsilon_1} = \text{id}$  (defining rep.) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$E_{\pi_{\varepsilon_1}} = TM$  tangent bundle

$\pi_{\varepsilon_1}^*$  dual rep. on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$

$TM^*$  cotangent bundle

identify using  $g$

$\underbrace{\pi_{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_p}}_{\omega_p}$  rep. on  $\wedge^p \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p < \frac{n}{2}$   
 $p^{\text{th}}$  fund. weight.

$\wedge^p TM$   $p$ -vectors /  $p$ -forms

$\pi_{\rho_{\varepsilon_1}}$  rep. on  $\text{Sym}_0^p \mathbb{R}^n$

$\text{Sym}_0^p TM$  traceless symm.  $p$ -tensors.

(This construction behaves nicely w.r.t. all linear algebra constructions:  
 $E_{\pi \oplus \pi'} = E_\pi \oplus E_{\pi'}$ ,  $E_{\pi \otimes \pi'} = E_\pi \otimes E_{\pi'}$ ,  $E_{\pi^*} = (E_\pi)^*$ ,  $E_{\pi \otimes \mathbb{C}} = E_\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \dots$ )

$\underbrace{\pi_0}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \underbrace{\pi_{2\varepsilon_1}}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \underbrace{\pi_{2\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2}}_{\mathbb{R}}$  rep. on  $\text{Sym}_b^2(\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^n)$

$\text{Sym}_b^2(\wedge^2 TM)$  curvature operators.

(scal)  $\oplus$  (traceless Ricci)  $\oplus$  (Weyl)

( $n \geq 5$ )

[On  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ ,  $n=2m$  or  $2m+1$ , use o.n.b.  $E_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , given by  $E_i(\text{diag}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m, 0, \dots, 0)) = \theta_i$ .]

Weitzenböck formula:  $\Delta = \nabla^* \nabla + \iota \cdot K(R, \pi)$  on sections of  $E_\pi$ .

$$K(R, \pi) = - \sum_{a,b} R_{ab} d\pi(X_a) \circ d\pi(X_b) = - \sum_a d\pi(R X_a) \circ d\pi(X_b)$$

$\pi: SO(n) \rightarrow SO(E)$

$d\pi: \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(E)$   
 $\mathbb{R}^2 \mathbb{R}^m$

where  $\{X_a\}$  is an o.n.b. of  $\wedge^2 T_p M \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)$  and  $R = \sum_{a,b} R_{ab} X_a \otimes X_b$  is the curvature operator of  $(M^m, g)$ .

- Properties:
- $R \mapsto K(R, \pi)$  is  $SO(n)$ -equiv. linear map;  $K(R, \pi)$  is self-adjoint
  - $R \geq 0 \iff K(R, \pi) \geq 0, \forall \pi$  ( $\implies$  trivial,  $\iff$  [Hitchin, 2015])
  - $\text{sec}_R \geq 0 \iff K(R, \pi_{p, \epsilon_1}) \geq 0, \forall p \geq 2$  [B-Mendes 2022]
  - $K(R, \pi \oplus \pi') = K(R, \pi) \oplus K(R, \pi')$  is block-diagonal
  - If  $\pi_\lambda$  is irred. rep. w/ highest weight  $\lambda$  then  $K(\text{Id}, \pi_\lambda) = d\pi_\lambda(-\text{Cas}) = \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle \text{Id}$

curvature operator of  $(S^n, \text{round})$

Freudenthal's formula

half-sum of positive roots ("Weyl vector")

e.g., on  $SO(2m)$ ,  
 $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^m (m-i) \epsilon_i$

Bochner technique

If  $M$  is closed, and  $\Delta \alpha = 0$ , then

$$0 = \int_M \langle \Delta \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \int_M \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + t \langle K(R, \pi) \alpha, \alpha \rangle$$

If  $t \cdot K(R, \pi) \geq 0$ , then  $\nabla \alpha \equiv 0$  i.e.  $\alpha$  is parallel

If  $t \cdot K(R, \pi) > 0$ , then  $\alpha \in (E_\pi)_0$  subbundle corresponding to trivial isotypic component of  $\pi$ . (So  $\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $\pi$  has no trivial factors! "Vanishing Theorem")

Ex:  $K(R, \pi_{\epsilon_1}) = \text{Ric}$

On  $TM$ , with  $t = -2$ :  $\Delta X = 0 \iff X$  is Killing vector field

On  $TM^*$ , with  $t = 2$ :  $\Delta \alpha = 0 \iff \underbrace{(d\delta + \delta d)}_{\text{Hodge Laplacian on } \Lambda^p TM} \alpha = 0$   $\alpha$  harmonic 1-form

Hodge Laplacian on  $\Lambda^p TM$  always corresponds to  $t=2$ .

Bochner 1946:  $\text{Ric} < 0 \implies |\text{Isom}(M^n, g)| < \infty$ .

$\text{Ric} > 0 \implies b_1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$

$\therefore$  (a lot in between that I'm skipping...)

Def:  $R$  is  $\kappa$ -positive if the sum of its smallest  $\kappa$  eigenvalues is positive.

Petersen-Wink 2021:  $R$  is  $(n-p)$ -positive  $\implies 1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$

$b_1(M) = \dots = b_p(M) = 0$   
 $(b_{n-1}(M) = \dots = b_{n-p}(M) = 0)$   
 by Poincaré duality

All my Betti numbers are  $b_i(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

In particular,  $R$  is  $\frac{n}{2}$ -pos.  $\implies M$  is a rational homology sphere.  $\square$

Rmk:  $R$  is  $(n-1)$ -positive  $\implies Ric > 0$  (but  $\not\Leftarrow$ ).

$R$  is 2-positive  $\xrightarrow{[B.W.]}$   $M = S^n / \Gamma$  is a spherical space form  
(Ricci flow)

but 3-positivity etc are not preserved by Ricci flow

Def: If  $R$  has eigenvalues  $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \dots \leq \nu_d$  and  $0 < r \leq d$ , let

$$\Sigma(r, R) = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{\lfloor r \rfloor} + (r - \lfloor r \rfloor) \nu_{\lfloor r \rfloor + 1}$$

$R$  is  $r$ -positive  $\iff \Sigma(r, R) > 0$  (for possibly noninteger values of  $r$  too)

Proposition (Key estimate). Let  $\pi_\lambda: G \rightarrow SO(E)$  be a nontrivial irred. orthogonal/unitary  $G$ -representation w/ highest weight  $\lambda$  and  $R: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  a self-adjoint operator. Then

$$K(R, \pi_\lambda) \geq \|\lambda\|^2 \cdot \Sigma(PW_G(\pi_\lambda), R) \cdot \text{Id}$$

where  $PW_G(\pi_\lambda) = \min \left\{ \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle}{\|\lambda\|^2}, \dim \mathfrak{g} \right\}$ .

Similarly, we define the "Peterson-Wink invariant" on reducible  $G$ -repr.  $\pi = \bigoplus_i \pi_i$  by setting  $PW_G(\pi) = \min_i \{PW_G(\pi_i) : \pi_i \text{ nontrivial}\}$

Applications:

- Lower bound for spectrum of  $\Delta$  on any tensor bundle  $E \rightarrow M$
- Vanishing theorems (Bochner technique)  $\leftarrow$  will only discuss these here

Fact: If  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \varepsilon_j$ ,  $n = 2m$  or  $2m+1$ , then  $PW_{SO(n)}(\pi_\lambda) = 1 + \frac{\sum_j (n-2j) a_j}{\sum_j a_j^2}$

(by Highest Weight Theorem, these correspond to the irred. representations)

Thus:  $PW_{SO(n)}(\underbrace{\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_p}_{\wedge^p TM}) = n - p$  if  $1 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$   $\rightsquigarrow$  Vanishing Thm  $b_1 = \dots = b_p = 0$ . [Peterson-Wink, 2021]

$PW_{SO(n)}(\underbrace{p\varepsilon_1}_{\text{Sym}^p TM}) = \frac{n+p-2}{p}$  if  $1 \leq p$ .

Pf. Let  $\{X_i\}$  be o.n.b that diagonalizes  $R$ ,  $R(X_i) = \nu_i X_i$ , with  $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \dots \leq \nu_{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ .

Suppose  $PW_G(\pi_\lambda) = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle}{\|\lambda\|^2} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $r = \lfloor PW_G(\pi_\lambda) \rfloor$ .

Given  $v \in E$ , since  $\pi_\lambda$  is orthogonal/unitary,  $d\pi_\lambda(X_i)$  is skew-adjoint:

$$\langle K(R, \pi_\lambda) v, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \langle d\pi_\lambda(R(X_i)) v, d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \nu_i \|d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v\|^2$$

split into first/last  $r$  terms

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^r \nu_i \|d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v\|^2 + \sum_{i=r+1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \nu_{r+1} \|d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v\|^2$$

"add back" first  $r$  terms in second sum

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^r (\nu_{r+1} - \nu_i) \|d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v\|^2 + \nu_{r+1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \|d\pi_\lambda(X_i) v\|^2}_{\text{Casimir}}$$

$\|d\pi_\lambda(X) v\| \leq \|\lambda\| \|v\|$

b/c up to conjugating may assume  $X \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  is in Cartan subalgebra; eigenvalues of  $d\pi_\lambda(X)$  are  $\mu(X)$  for each weight  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  and  $|\mu(X)| \leq \|\mu\| \cdot \|X\| \leq \|\lambda\|$   
 Cauchy-Schwarz 1 λ has max length among weights

$$\geq - \sum_{i=1}^r (\nu_{r+1} - \nu_i) \|\lambda\|^2 \|v\|^2 + \nu_{r+1} \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle \|v\|^2$$

$$= \|\lambda\|^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^r \nu_i + (PW_G(\pi_\lambda) - r) \nu_{r+1} \right) \|v\|^2$$

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\sum (PW_G(\pi_\lambda), R)}$$

Suppose  $PW_G(\pi_\lambda) = \dim \mathfrak{g} \leq \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle}{\|\lambda\|^2}$ . If  $\nu_{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \geq 0$  similar argument works

using  $\nu_{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$  instead of  $\nu_{r+1}$  in second sum; if  $\nu_{\dim \mathfrak{g}} < 0$  then result follows from  $\|d\pi(X_i) v\| \leq \|\lambda\| \cdot \|v\|$ .  $\square$

Rmk: The above lower bound is sharp: setting  $R = \text{Id}$ , we have

$$\kappa(\text{Id}, \pi_\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle \text{Id} = \|\lambda\|^2 \cdot \Sigma(\text{PW}_\mathbb{C}(\pi_\lambda), R) \cdot \text{Id}$$

Other vanishing theorems: ← For simplicity, we skip the "nonnegative curvature" version of the statements (but they also hold!)

• Vanishing thm for Hodge numbers of Kähler mflds [Peterson-Wink '21]

$(M^{2m}, g)$  closed Kähler mfld,  $\phi \in \Lambda^{p,q} TM^*$  harmonic  $(p,q)$ -form ( $1 \leq p, q \leq m$ )

Let  $C^{p,q} := m + 1 - \frac{p+q}{2} = \text{PW}_{U(m)}(\Lambda^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^m)^*)$ .   
 "Proof" not irreducible, so need to compare irred. parts!

If  $R: \mathfrak{u}(m) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(m)$  is  $C^{p,q}$ -positive, then  $\phi \equiv 0$ ; in particular  $h^{p,q}(M) = 0$ .   
 ← Hodge theory / Dolbeault coh.

• Tachibana-type thm (small improvement of [Peterson-Wink '21]):

$(M^n, g)$  closed Riem. mfld with harmonic curvature operator,  $n \geq 5$    
 If  $R$  is  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ -positive, then  $(M^n, g)$  is a space form.   
 ← If  $n=3,4$  then can replace  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  with  $\frac{n}{2}$ .

Pf:  $\text{PW}_{\text{SO}(n)}(\underbrace{\pi_0 \oplus \pi_{\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1} \oplus \pi_{\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1 + \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_2}}_{E_\pi = \text{Sym}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n TM) \rightsquigarrow \pi \text{ is bundle of curv. operators}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 4 \\ 3/2 & \text{if } n = 3. \end{cases} \quad t > 0.$

Triv. isotypic comp. is  $(E_\pi)_0 = \{ \kappa \cdot \text{Id} : \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \}$  constant curvature curv. operators. □

• Trace-free conformal Killing tensors

$(M^n, g)$  closed Riem. mfld,  $\phi \in \text{Sym}_0^p TM$  harmonic.   
 ← a.k.a. trace free conformal Killing tensor.

If  $R$  is  $\frac{n+p-2}{p}$ -negative, then  $\phi \equiv 0$ .

Pf:  $\text{PW}_{\text{SO}(n)}(\pi_{p\varepsilon_1}) = \frac{n+p-2}{p}, \quad t = -2 < 0.$  □

cf. [Dairbekov-Sharafutdinov' 2010] and [Heil-Moroianu-Semmelmann' 2016] using instead  $\text{sec} < 0$ .

• Twisted spinors: tomorrow!

← only other family of vanishing theorems with topological consequences besides those using Hodge Theory.   
 (use instead Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem). 5

PART 2:

$(M^m, g)$  Riem. mfld, spin. (Stiefel-Whitney classes:  $w_1=0, w_2=0$ )

- Frame bundle  $SO(n) \rightarrow Fr_{SO}(M) \rightarrow M$  lifts to principal  $Spin(n)$ -bundle  $Spin(n) \rightarrow Fr_{Spin}(M) \rightarrow M$

• Associated bundle construction can be performed with spinor representations:

Yesterday:  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \epsilon_j, a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  (Irreducible  $SO(2m)$ -representations)

Dominant integral weights

$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{m-1} \geq |a_m| \geq 0$

$\cap$  ← can lift repr. by composing w/ double cover  $Spin(2m) \rightarrow SO(2m)$

Today:  $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $a_j + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Irreducible  $Spin(2m)$ -representations)

Def (PW-invariant):  $PW_G(\pi_\lambda) = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle}{\|\lambda\|^2}$

same formula from yesterday holds b/c only depends on Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ , which is the same for  $SO(n)$  and  $Spin(n)$ .

For  $G = SO(2m)$  or  $Spin(2m)$ ,  $n = 2m$ , and  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \epsilon_j$ ,  $PW_G(\pi_\lambda) = 1 + \frac{\sum_j (n - 2j) a_j}{\sum_j a_j^2}$

These are not lifts of  $SO(2m)$ -repr.

- Ex:  $\begin{cases} \omega_{m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{m-1} - \epsilon_m) \\ \omega_m = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{m-1} + \epsilon_m) \end{cases} \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} \pi_{\omega_{m-1}} \text{ and } \pi_{\omega_m} \text{ are the "half" spinor representations } S^+ \text{ and } S^-, \text{ which are irred. rep. of dim } 2^{m-1}. \end{cases}$

$\pi_S = \pi_{\omega_{m-1}} \oplus \pi_{\omega_m}$  "spinor repr.".  $PW_{Spin(2m)}(\pi_{\omega_{m-1}}) = PW_{Spin(2m)}(\pi_{\omega_m}) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ . ⚠

so PW estimate in this case is much stronger than just imply  $scal > 0$

- (Complex) Spinor bundle:  $S = S^+ \oplus S^- = E_{\pi_S} \rightarrow M$  vector bundle of rank  $2^m$
- Dirac operator  $D: S \rightarrow S$  is 1<sup>st</sup> order diff. operator s.t.  $D^2 = \Delta$  satisfies Weitzenböck formula:  $D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + t \cdot K(R, \pi_S)$ , with  $t = 2$  and  $K(R, \pi_S) = \frac{scal}{8}$  can show this using formula for  $K(R, \pi)$  from yesterday!

Thm (Lichnerowicz '63). If  $(M^m, g)$  is a closed spin Riem. mfld with  $scal > 0$ , then  $\hat{A}(M) = 0$ .

Pf: ①  $D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{scal}{4}$ , so  $scal > 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ker } D = \{0\}$ . (Vanishing theorem for harmonic spinors)  
 If  $n = 4k$ , then  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  w.r.t.  $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ , thus  $\text{Ker } D^\pm = \{0\}$ . (If  $n \neq 4k$ , then  $\hat{A}(M) = 0$ .)

② Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem:  $\hat{A}(M) = \text{ind}(D^+) = \dim \text{Ker } D^+ - \dim \text{coker } D^+ = 0$ . □  
Topological invariant:  $\mathbb{Q}$ -lin. comb. of Pontryagin numbers, so oriented cobordism invariant.  $= \text{Ker } D^-$

Example: K3 surface (Fermat quartic) (Rmk: Need spin, e.g.,  $\hat{A}(CP^2) = -\frac{1}{8}$  and has  $scal > 0$ .)  
 $M^4 = \{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in CP^3 : x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0\}$  is spin and  $\hat{A}(M^4) \neq 0$ , so does not admit  $scal > 0$ .

Well-known generalization: twisted spinors  $E_{\pi_S \otimes \pi} = S \otimes E_\pi$  ( $E_\pi \rightarrow M$  is a (plx) vector bundle; e.g.  $E_\pi = TM_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^p TM_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots$ )

① Twisted Dirac operator  $D_\pi : S \otimes E_\pi \rightarrow S \otimes E_\pi$  satisfies Weitzenböck-type formula

$$D_\pi^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \mathcal{R}_\pi, \text{ where } \mathcal{R}_\pi \text{ depends on } R \text{ and } \pi.$$

This is no longer of the form  $K(R, \pi)$ !

Q: How to ensure  $\mathcal{R}_\pi > 0$ ?

If  $E$  is "built from"  $TM$ , then  $\hat{A}(M, E)$  is a rational linear comb. of Pontryagin numbers of  $M$ , just like  $\hat{A}(M) = \langle \hat{A}(TM), [M] \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{R}_E$  depends only on  $R$ .

② Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem:  $\hat{A}(M, E_\pi) = \langle \hat{A}(TM) \cdot \text{ch}(E_\pi), [M] \rangle = \text{ind}(D_{E_\pi}^+)$ .

where  $E_\pi \rightarrow M$  is complex vector bundle.

A:

Prop:  $\mathcal{R}_\pi = K(R, \pi_S \otimes \pi) + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} \text{Id} - K(R, \pi)$ , where  $D_\pi^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \mathcal{R}_\pi$

Pf:  $d\pi_S(e_i \wedge e_j) = \frac{1}{2} e_i e_j$  and simple (but important!) computation that I'll skip here to handle the mixed terms from  $d(\pi_S \otimes \pi) = d\pi_S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d\pi$  multiplied by  $d\pi$ .

From yesterday:  $K(R, \pi) \geq \|\lambda\|^2 \cdot \Sigma(PW_G(\pi), R) \cdot \text{Id}$

Example:  $\pi = \pi_{\epsilon_1}$ ,  $S \otimes E_\pi = S \otimes TM_{\mathbb{C}}$

"Parita-Schwinger fields" (spec  $\cong \frac{3}{2}$  fermions)

Pieri's formula (Littlewood-Richardson rule)  $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \pi_{\omega_m} \otimes \pi_{\epsilon_1} = \pi_{\omega_m + \epsilon_1} \oplus \pi_{\omega_{m-1}} \\ \pi_{\omega_{m-1}} \otimes \pi_{\epsilon_1} = \pi_{\omega_{m-1} + \epsilon_1} \oplus \pi_{\omega_m} \end{cases} \Rightarrow S \otimes E_\pi = S \oplus E_{\pi_{\omega_m + \epsilon_1}} \oplus E_{\pi_{\omega_{m-1} + \epsilon_1}}$

$PW_{\text{Spin}(2m)}(\pi_{\omega_m} \otimes \pi_{\epsilon_1}) = PW_{\text{Spin}(2m)}(\pi_{\omega_{m-1}} \otimes \pi_{\epsilon_1}) = \min \left\{ \underbrace{PW(\pi_{\omega_m})}_{\parallel n-1}, \underbrace{PW(\pi_{\omega_{m-1} + \epsilon_1})}_{\parallel \frac{n(n+7)}{n+16}} \right\} = \frac{n(n+7)}{n+16}$

*from now on I just write  $PW = PW_{\text{Spin}(2m)}$*

So  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi_{\epsilon_1}} = K(R, \pi_S \otimes \pi_{\epsilon_1}) + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} \text{Id} - \text{Ric}$  (recall  $K(R, \pi_{\epsilon_1}) = \text{Ric}$ )

$$\geq \begin{cases} \left( \left( \frac{n(n+7)}{n+16} \right) \Sigma \left( \frac{n(n+7)}{n+16}, R \right) + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} - \mu \right) \text{Id} \text{ on } E_{\pi_{\omega_{m-1} + \epsilon_1}} \oplus E_{\pi_{\omega_m + \epsilon_1}} \\ \left( \frac{\text{scal}}{8} + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} - \mu \right) \text{Id} \text{ on } S = E_{\pi_{\omega_m}} \oplus E_{\pi_{\omega_{m-1}}} \end{cases}$$

$\geq C_1(R) \cdot \text{Id}$ , where  $C_1(R) = \min \left\{ \left( \frac{n}{8} + 2 \right) \Sigma(r_1, R), \frac{\text{scal}}{8} \right\} + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} - \mu$

$\mu =$  largest eigenvalue of  $\text{Ric}$ .  $\leftarrow$  or  $\Sigma(n-1, R)$  if one wishes to use only  $\text{Spec}(R) \dots 2$

Thm. If  $(M^n, g)$  is a closed Riem. spin mfd with  $C_1(R) > 0$ , then  $\hat{A}(M, TM_c) = 0$ . ←  $n=4k, k \geq 2$

Example.  $M^8 = \mathbb{H}P^2$  does not admit a metric with  $C_1(R) > 0$ , since  $\hat{A}(M, TM_c) \neq 0$ .

← same for  $\#^k \mathbb{H}P^2, k \geq 1$

Cor ( $n=8$ ).  $r_1 = 5$  so  $C_1(R) = \min \left\{ 3(r_1 + \dots + r_5), \frac{\text{scal}}{8} \right\} + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} - \mu$ , and thus a spin closed mfd  $M^8$  w/  $\hat{A}(M, TM_c) \neq 0$  does not admit Einstein metrics w/ 5-positive R. = 0 if R is Einstein w/ scal > 0.

Note: Fubini-Study metric on  $\mathbb{H}P^2$  is 19-positive.

! this is a sum of largest  $r'_i$  eigenvalues of R.

General case:

$\forall p \geq 1$ , let  $C_p(R) = \min \left\{ \left( \frac{n}{8} + p^2 + p \right) \Sigma(r_p, R), \frac{n(n-8)}{8r_p} \Sigma(r_p, R) \right\} + \frac{\text{scal}}{8} + p^2 \Sigma(r'_p, -R)$

where  $r_p, r'_p \in \mathbb{Q}$  are explicit constants that depend on  $n$ .

$$\begin{cases} r_p = \frac{n^2 + (8p-4)n + 8p(p-1)}{n + 8p(p+1)} \\ r'_p = \frac{n+p-2}{p} \end{cases}$$

- Note:
- $C_p(R)$  is a (piecewise) linear comb. of eigenvalues of  $R$  for  $p \geq 2$ . w/ of "min" ↑
  - If  $1 \leq q < p$ , then  $C_p(R) > 0 \Rightarrow C_q(R) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{scal} > 0$ . e-val of R and Ric if p=1 ↑
  - $\{R : C_p(R) \geq 0\}$  is a spectrahedron.

Thm A (B. - Goodman '22). If  $(M^n, g), n=4k \geq 8$ , is a closed Riem. spin mfd with  $C_p(R) > 0$ , and  $E \subseteq TM^{\otimes p}$  is a parallel subbundle, then  $\hat{A}(M, E_c) = 0$ . ← rational cobordism invariant!

Pf: For all irred.  $SO(n)$ -rep.  $\pi_\lambda$  which is a subrepresentation of  $\pi_{\varepsilon_1}^{\otimes p}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \text{PW}(\pi_\lambda \otimes \pi_\lambda) \geq \text{PW}(\pi_\lambda \otimes \pi_{p \cdot \varepsilon_1}) =: r_p \\ \text{PW}(\pi_\lambda) \geq \text{PW}(\pi_{p \cdot \varepsilon_1}) =: r'_p \end{cases}$$

← and similarly for  $\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle$  compared to  $\langle p \cdot \varepsilon_1, p \cdot \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle$ , i.e., "worst case scenario" happens if  $\lambda = p \cdot \varepsilon_1$  (this is shown using convex optimization!)

and  $r \mapsto \Sigma(r, R)/r$  is  $\uparrow$ , so  $C_p(R) > 0 \Rightarrow R_{\pi_\lambda} > 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Atiyah-Singer}} \hat{A}(M, (E_{\pi_\lambda})_c) = 0 \quad \square$

Example:  $M^{16} = \text{CaP}^2$  does not admit a metric w/  $C_2(R) > 0$ , since  $\hat{A}(M, \wedge^2 TM_c) \neq 0$ .

Remark: Specializing to a given parallel subbundle  $E \subseteq TM^{\otimes p}$ , such as  $\wedge^p TM$  or  $\text{Sym}^p TM$ , it is possible to obtain better estimates (weaker curvature requirements) in order to prove  $\hat{A}(M, E_c) = 0$ .

Q: what manifolds have  $C_p(R) > 0$ ? / Are we obstructing an impossible curvature condition?!



A: "lots" of manifolds have  $\langle p, R \rangle > 0$ :

Thm B. (B. - Goodman '22).

- (i) Every nontorsion cobordism class in  $\Sigma_n^{30}$ ,  $n \geq 10$ , contains a manifold with  $C_1(R) > 0$ .  
i.e., without spin condition, there is no restriction on rational cobordism class.
- (ii) If  $M^n$  is spin,  $n \geq 10$ , and  $\hat{A}(M) = \hat{A}(M, TM_c) = 0$ , then  $\#^e M^n$  is spin-cobordant to a mfltd with  $C_1(R) > 0$ .  
i.e., with spin condition, these are the only restrictions on the rational cobordism class.
- (iii)  $C_p(R) > 0$  is preserved under surgeries of codimension  $d$  if  $(d-1)(d-3) > 8p(p+n-2)$ .

Note: Round spheres  $S^n$  have  $C_p(\text{Id}) > 0$  if  $n \gg p$   
 $C_p(\text{Id}) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 - (p + \frac{1}{4})n - p(p-2)$   
 but, of course,  $M = S^n = \partial B^{n+1}$  so  $\hat{A}(M, E_c) = 0$  for any  $E$ .

\* Recall: Surgery of codimension  $d$  is to  
 • Remove  $S^{n-d} \times D^d \subset M^n$   
 • Glue in  $D^{n-d+1} \times S^{d-1}$   
 Result is cobordant but has  
 • smaller  $b_{n-d}$  if  $0 \neq [S^{n-d}] \in H_{n-d}(M, \mathbb{Q})$   
 • larger  $b_{n-d+1}$  if  $0 = [S^{n-d}] \in H_{n-d}(M, \mathbb{Q})$   
 cf. Gromov-Lawson/Schoen-Yau:  $\text{scal} > 0$  is preserved if  $d \geq 3$ .

Applications to other cobordism invariants:

- Cobordism class itself:

Thm C (B. - Goodman '22). Let  $(M^{4k}, g)$  be a closed Riem. spin manifold, with  $\frac{\text{scal}}{8} \text{Id} - \text{Ric} > 0$  and

$\Sigma(S, R) > 0$ if $k=2$ ,	} Note: these conditions are always weaker than $\Sigma(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}, R) = \Sigma(2k, R) > 0$ , cf. Petersen-Wink.
$\Sigma(2k+4, R) > 0$ if $k \geq 6$ even,	
$\Sigma(2k+6) > 0$ if $k \geq 9$ odd,	

then  $M$  is rationally null-cobordant, i.e.,  $\#^e M^n = \partial W^{n+1}$  for some  $\ell \geq 1$ .

Method of proof: [Thom '1954]: Pontrygin numbers  $(p_1, \dots, p_{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor})$ :  $\Sigma_{4k}^{\text{Spin}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \Sigma_{4k}^{\text{SO}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q}^{p(k)}$  ← partitions of  $k$   
 define an isomorphism, so  $M$  is rationally null-cobordant iff all its Pontrygin numbers vanish.

- So it suffices to show that  $\hat{A}(M, E_c) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of Pontrygin numbers} \end{smallmatrix} \text{-linear combination} \right) = 0$  for sufficiently many  $E$ 's  
 ← coefficients depend on choice of  $E$ ; e.g.
- Achieve this applying Thm A and Petersen-Wink's result.

$\Sigma_*^{\text{SO}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^4, \dots]$   
 $\Sigma_*^{\text{SO}} / \text{torsion} \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{C}P^{2m}, H_{ij}^{m \geq 2, i \geq 2}]$   
 $\Sigma_*^{\text{Spin}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{K}3, \mathbb{H}P^2, \mathbb{H}P^3, \dots]$

$n=4$ : $p_1$	$n=8$ : $p_1^2, p_2$	$n=12$ : $p_1^3, p_1 p_2, p_3$
$\hat{A}(M^4) = -\frac{p_1}{24}$	$\hat{A}(M^8) = \frac{7p_1^2 - 4p_2}{5760}$	$\hat{A}(M^{12}) = \frac{-31p_1^3 + 44p_1 p_2 - 16p_3}{967680}$
$\hat{A}(M^4, TM_c) = \frac{5p_1}{6}$	$\hat{A}(M^8, TM_c) = \frac{37p_1^2 - 124p_2}{720}$	$\hat{A}(M^{12}, TM_c) = \frac{11p_1^3 - 124p_1 p_2 + 656p_3}{80640}$
$\hat{A}(M^4, \text{Sym}^2 TM_c) = \frac{67p_1}{12}$	$\hat{A}(M^8, \text{Sym}^2 TM_c) = \frac{701p_1^2 - 1292p_2}{480}$	$\hat{A}(M^{12}, \text{Sym}^2 TM_c) = \frac{20933p_1^3 - 64642p_1 p_2 + 58928p_3}{161280}$
$\hat{A}(M^4, \wedge^2 TM_c) = \frac{7p_1}{4}$	$\hat{A}(M^8, \wedge^2 TM_c) = \frac{409p_1^2 - 28p_2}{1440}$	$\hat{A}(M^{12}, \wedge^2 TM_c) = \frac{499p_1^3 + 3844p_1 p_2 - 27056p_3}{161280}$

Remark: If  $n=8$ , then  $\Sigma_8^{\text{SO}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  has no torsion, so  $\frac{\text{scal}}{8} - \text{Ric} > 0$  and  $\Sigma(S, R) > 0$  actually implies  $M^8$  is null-cobordant.

• Witten genus:  $\varphi_w(M) = \hat{A}(M, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^l TM_{\mathbb{C}}) \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^l)^{4k}$ , where  $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^l TM_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} + TM_{\mathbb{C}}^l + \text{Sym}^2 TM_{\mathbb{C}}^l + \dots$

is a formal power series  $\varphi_w(M) \in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$  with coefficients  $\hat{A}(M), \hat{A}(M, TM_{\mathbb{C}}), \hat{A}(M, TM_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \text{Sym}^2 TM_{\mathbb{C}}) \dots$

Witten:  $\varphi_w(M)$  "is" the  $S^1$ -equivariant index of Dirac operator on loop space  $\mathcal{L}M$ . ← not rigorously defined

Thm D. (B.-Goodman'22) Let  $(M^{4k}, g)$  be a closed Riem. spin manifold, and set  $p = \lfloor \frac{k}{6} \rfloor - 1$  if  $k \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ ,  $p = \lfloor \frac{k}{6} \rfloor$  otherwise (so  $p \approx \frac{\dim M}{24}$ ).  
If  $p \geq 1$ ,  $C_p(R) > 0$ , and  $p_1(TM) = 0$ , then  $\varphi_w(M) = 0$ .

Conjecture (Stolz, 1996). If  $(M^4, g)$  has  $\text{Ric} > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2} p_1(TM) = 0$ , then  $\varphi_w(M) = 0$ . ← "string"

if true, would yield first examples of simply-connected manifolds with  $\text{scal} > 0$  that do not admit  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

Note:  $\text{Ric} > 0$  does not imply  $C_p(R) > 0$ !

There exist manifolds with  $C_p(R) > 0$  with  $p$  as above and  $|\pi_1 M| = +\infty$ , so no  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

Method of proof:  $p_1(TM) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_w(M)$  is a modular form of weight  $2k$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_w(M) = 0$  if first  $p \approx \frac{k}{6}$  coeff. vanish.

• Apply Theorem A to show these coeff. vanish, since they involve  $\hat{A}(M, E_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $E \in TM^{\otimes p}$ . □

• Elliptic genus:  $\phi(M) = \left( 2 \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^l)^2}{(1 + q^l)^2} \right)^{2k} \left\langle L(TM) \cdot \text{ch} \left( \mathcal{P}_2 \left( \bigotimes_{l=1}^{\infty} \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^l TM_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^l TM_{\mathbb{C}} \right) \right), [M] \right\rangle$   
 ↑ so first term is signature  $\sigma(M) = \langle L(TM), [M] \rangle$       Adams operation      ↑ analogous to  $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^l$  for  $\wedge^l$ .

Thm E. (B.-Goodman'22). Let  $(M^{4k}, g)$  be a closed Riem. spin mfld. If  $k \geq 2$  and  $C_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}(R) > 0$ , then the elliptic genus  $\phi(M)$  vanishes (and hence the signature  $\sigma(M)$  vanishes).