NSSE 2026 Snapshot

CUNY Herbert H. Lehman College

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group

The comparison group featured in this report is

Mid East Public

See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators

Sets of items are grouped into ten Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your institution. For details, see your *Engagement Indicators* report.

Key:

▲ **Your students' average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

△ **Your students' average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

-- No significant difference.

▽ **Your students' average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

▼ **Your students' average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

		Your students compared with Mid East Public	
Theme	*Engagement Indicator*	*First-year*	*Senior*
Academic Challenge	**Higher-Order Learning**	--	△
	Reflective & Integrative Learning	△	--
	Learning Strategies	△	▲
	Quantitative Reasoning	△	--
Learning with Peers	**Collaborative Learning**	--	--
	Discussions with Diverse Others	--	--
Experiences with Faculty	**Student-Faculty Interaction**	△	--
	Effective Teaching Practices	△	△
Campus Environment	**Quality of Interactions**	--	△
	Supportive Environment	▲	△

High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year

Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

Senior

Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience



NSSE 2026 Snapshot

CUNY Herbert H. Lehman College

Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons,* the *Major Field Report,* the *Online Institutional Report,* or the Report Builder.

Time Spent Preparing for Class

This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.



Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.



Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."



Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions[a] on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals, or equally available to all students. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

First-year

Highest Performing Relative to Mid East Public

Discussions with...People from countries other than your own

Institution emphasis on helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (...)[c] (SE)

Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds...[c] (SE)

Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member[b] (SF)

Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (...)[b] (QR)

Lowest Performing Relative to Mid East Public

Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations[c] (HO)

Quality of interactions with academic advisors[d] (QI)

Instructors enabled you to demonstrate your learning through quizzes, assignments (...)[c]

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class

Discussions with...People with sexual orientations other than your own



Senior

Highest Performing Relative to Mid East Public

Reviewed your notes after class[b] (LS)

Extent to which courses challenged you to do your best work[d]

Discussions with...People from countries other than your own

Quality of interactions with students[d] (QI)

Institution emphasis on helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (...)[c] (SE)

Lowest Performing Relative to Mid East Public

Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class[b] (SF)

Worked with a faculty member on a research project (HIP)

Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments[b] (RI)

Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placemt. (HIP)

Completed a culminating senior experience (...) (HIP)



a. The items on this page come from the Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), Sense of Belonging (SB), the academic challenge questions on page 2, and four additional questions about effective teaching (new in 2021). Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment.
b. Combination of students responding "very often" or "often."
c. Combination of students responding "very much" or "quite a bit."
d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.
e. Percentage reporting at least "some."
f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.
g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.
h. Combination of students responding "strongly agree" or "agree."

NSSE 2026 Snapshot

CUNY Herbert H. Lehman College

How Students Assess Their Experience

Students' perceptions of certain aspects of cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Perceived Gains (Sorted highest to lowest)	Percentage of Seniors Responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"
Thinking critically and analytically	85%
Writing clearly and effectively	84%
Speaking clearly and effectively	82%
Working effectively with others	80%
Understanding people of other backgrounds (econ., racial/ethnic, polit., relig., nation., etc.)	80%
Developing or clarifying a personal code of values and ethics	77%
Being an informed and active citizen	76%
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	73%
Solving complex real-world problems	72%
Analyzing numerical and statistical information	70%

Satisfaction with Lehman College

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.





Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	147	6%	81%	94%
Senior	254	7%	78%	61%

See your *Administration Summary* and *Respondent Profile* reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution did not choose to administer additional questions. In future administrations, you may customize NSSE by participating in a topical module or a consortium. See our website for more information. **nsse.iu.edu**

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at nearly 1,700 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: **nsse.iu.edu**

Prepared 2026-07-23

IPEDS: 190637